

Search for articles. 

## VACCINATION HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH IMMUNIZATION

by; Daniel P. Towle, D.C., DNBHE

### NOW COMES THE SPOOKY PART

In an article titled "[Live Virus Vaccines and Genetic Mutation](#)" by Harold Buttram, MD, he states the following;

*"Viral vaccines have for many years have been suspect as agents which carry foreign genetic material into the human system. Since the immune system must recognize and combat that which is foreign to "self", this foreign genetic material may set in motion immune derangements in the form of auto immune diseases."*

Such a possibility was postulated long ago by Joshua Laderberg, Department of Genetics, Stanford University School of Medicine, who said; *"In point of fact we already practice biological engineering on a rather large scale by the use of live viruses and mass immunization campaigns. While these are thought to be of indubitable value for preventing serious diseases, their global impact on the development of human beings of a side range of genotypes is hard to assess at our present stage of wisdom. Crude virus preparations, such as some in common use at the present time, are also vulnerable to frightful mishaps of contamination and misidentification. Live viruses are themselves genetic messages used for the purpose of programming human cells for the synthesis of immunogenic virus antigens."*

Viruses can be suspect in bringing about genetic changes since they are made up of pure genetic material, either being DNA or RNA strands. Viruses are also uniquely susceptible to the process of "jumping genes". Mobile genetic elements (jumping genes) were first described by Dr. McClintock and there is a large body of literature demonstrating the action of viruses in bringing about genetic changes in host cells. However, the viruses themselves are susceptible to genetic change as they are passed through different cell cultures during their production. Consider that viral vaccines must be incubated in some form of cell culture (chick embryo, monkey kidney, etc.) it would appear inevitable that the vaccines would also carry these foreign genetic imprints into the human recipient. Each time you receive a vaccination, you also risk changing a portion of your genetic structure.

In 1971 there appeared reports of a major development in our understanding of the processes of alteration of the genetic material in cells, via contact with bacteria ([World Medicine](#), September 22, 1971, pp. 69-72). The article described how Japanese bacteriologists discovered that bacteria of one species could transfer their own resistance to specific antibodies to bacteria of a different species. Further research at the Department of Plant Physiology, at Geneva University, has proved that the genetic transfer of information is not confined to bacteria, but occurs also between bacteria and higher plants and animals. This process involves the 'shedding' of DNA material which may be taken up by other cells in the organism. Turning from plants to animals the researchers extracted frog tissues and immersed this in bacterial suspensions. They found that ribonucleic acid and deoxyribonucleic acid from the bacteria became 'hybridized' with genetic material from the frog tissues. The results were explained in this way;

*'Since we know that no bacteria got into the frog auricles, we can only conclude that the bacterial DNA must have been exuded from the bacteria and absorbed by the animal cells. This transfer phenomenon, or "transcession", as it has been called, is very probably a general one, otherwise the synthesis of bacterial RNA would hardly have been successfully achieved with animal tissues at the first attempt'.*

A question was posed in this article which is of interest: 'What connection does transcession from bacteria to our own cells have with disease? Could the heart damage that can follow after rheumatic

[HOME](#)[THIS ISSUE](#)[ARCHIVE](#)[COURSE REVIEWS](#)[BOOK REVIEWS](#)[PRODUCT REVIEWS](#)[SEARCH](#)[CONTACT](#)

fever and similar bacterial infections be the result of the body's immunological system reacting to its own cells producing an alien RNA?'

Research has continued along these lines, and it is confirmed that there does occur a spontaneous release of DNA by human blood lymphocytes, in laboratory conditions. This process takes place, and has been consistently demonstrated, between a variety of different cells and species. DNA transfer occurs between bacteria and animals and man and also between the cells of higher organisms ( International Review of Cytology, vol. 51, 1977, Academic Press). The possibility that all this activity might have implications relating to the development of cancer is obvious. It has been postulated that DNA material may occur in a free form, circulating in the blood stream or lymph, and that there might be a take-up of this genetic material, by cells, with implications relating to tumor development.

In 1975 the Nobel prize was awarded to David Baltimore and Howard Temin for their work in showing that cancer-causing viruses used, as part of the process involved in this causation, an enzyme called reverse transcriptase. This was used to enable the virus to attach itself to the DNA of cells which they infect. Thus incorporated into the genetic material of the cell of an animal, cancer development may begin. The understanding of how virus particles can actually become integrated parts of an invaded cell explains what was previously a mystery. The question can be raised as to whether the introduction of the viruses of influenza, mumps, polio etc. to the body, in vaccination programs, may not be 'seeding' humans with virus DNA.

This would allow the development of proviruses which could lie dormant in cells throughout the body. The activation of proviruses at a later stage might be responsible for such diseases as multiple sclerosis, Parkinson's disease, cancer and others. This is a form of natural (and in the case of vaccination unnatural) genetic engineering. Leaving out the dangers of contamination by viruses of a type such as those found in monkey kidneys, it appears that, by means of vaccination into the blood stream of a variety of virus and bacterial materials, mankind has indeed managed to engage in a massive experiment in genetic engineering. The repercussions of this will be felt for generations as the latent provirus cells, and other cells whose DNA has been altered, began to produce the inevitable consequences of their presence.

What are the diseases which might be seen to result from such a scenario? Dr. Mendelsohn maintains that long term effects related to measles vaccine, for example, include multiple sclerosis, juvenile onset diabetes and Reye's syndrome. Regarding the polio vaccination, Dr. Fred Klenner has stated; *'Many here voice a silent view that Salk and Sabin vaccines, being made of monkeys kidney tissue, have been directly responsible for the major increase of leukemia in this country'*.

The suspicion of the long term effects of virus particles in the blood stream and latent in cells elsewhere in the body is echoed by other researchers. A major article appeared in the British Medical Journal (Apr. 11, 1967, pp. 210-213) entitled 'Multiple Sclerosis and Vaccination'. This was one observation made; *'German authors have described the apparent provocation of multiple sclerosis by vaccinations against smallpox, typhoid, tetanus, polio and tuberculosis, and after injections of anti diphtheria serum. Zintchenko (1965) reported 12 patients in whom multiple sclerosis first became evident after a course of anti-rabies vaccinations'*.

According to Dr. H. Weaver (as reported in Medical News, May 22, 1967);

*"Circulating antibodies are responsible for some destruction of the myelin sheath in MS. Moreover cell culture tests reveal that an unidentified blood protein destroys the myelin, but when the protein factor is removed, the myelin is rapidly repaired. MS patients have probably had prior infection such as measles and mumps. A delayed autoimmune reaction in the central nervous system could be involved"*.

Thus we can see that a number of researchers are pointing to a latent virus in the body, which when activated, causes damage to the myelin sheath around the nerve structures. This damage ultimately leads to the dysfunction and paralysis characteristic of multiple sclerosis. It is interesting to note that the removal of the tonsils is another aspect of the weakening of the immune defense capability which increases the risks of MS in later life. According to Dr. David Poskanzer of Harvard Medical School's Department of Preventive Medicine and Neurology, the risk of developing MS is nearly double if the tonsils are absent. ( The Lancet, December 18, 1965).

Again quoting Dr. Buttram; *"Significant data implicates and relates viral infections in general to psychotic illness. Bruce Rabim, MD, Ph.D., a professor of pathology and psychiatry at Western Psychiatric Institute in Pittsburgh has found evidence that approximately one-third of all cases of*

*schizophrenia are auto immune in nature, with immune bodies attacking the brain cells. More recently four schizophrenic patients were found to improve with the administration, sublingually of neutralizing doses of influenza extract."*

This type of vaccine Russian roulette hardly sounds very scientific. What we do know scientifically is that vaccines do NOT consistently cause an appropriate immune reaction that protects the individual for life. Furthermore, we do NOT know what other genetic changes may take place in the child, and we can see that there may be a high price to pay later in life for vaccinated kids as auto-immune and neurological diseases set in. Further consideration of this terrifying prospect reveals permanent genetic changes that may be passed onto their children, grandchildren, etc. We do NOT know what may happen to our future generations. With the information presented above, do you want to bet any child's life that those changes will be advantageous??? Once again, additional information is available for all those who look for it.

## **MEDICINE AND POLITICS**

By now, many people are starting to question the honesty, integrity, intelligence, truthfulness, etc. of not only their pediatricians, but also the politicians that enacted the compulsory laws in the first place. If you would be interested in learning more about medicine, it would be worth while to read [Confessions of a Medical Heretic](#) by Robert Mendelsohn, MD. In the book he tells you *"How to guard yourself against the harmful impact upon your life of doctors, drugs and hospitals."* It must be noted that medical doctors are indoctrinated with medical dogma not medical science. Even the Chinese would approve of the brainwashing carried out by the medical schools of today which yearly turn out thousands of "Manchurian candidates" which are let loose upon the unsuspecting American public.

By now it should be apparent that instead of healing people, the Orthodox medical system is creating more and more business for itself by increasing the number and severity of the diseases that affect us. In case you missed it, doctors use guilt and fear (primarily fear) to motivate parents and everyone else. Since the development of the preoccupation with the prevention of infectious diseases by vaccination, all of these diseases, even chickenpox, influenza and measles have become potentially deadly diseases according to what the doctors are telling the parents, politicians, school officials, etc. The pediatrician will righteously announce that: *"If you don't vaccinate your child it will die!"*

One of the more recent vaccines, that for hepatitis B, became a declared deadly disease which is poised to attack all new born babies or may attack them after they all become intravenous drug users, prostitutes, practicing male homosexuals or have to go on dialysis. Somehow, every mother in the United States is now regarded as a carrier of hepatitis B and all new born babies have to be injected with a vaccine containing this potentially deadly virus. Of course, if hepatitis B were as big a problem as is painted by the vociferous advocates of vaccination, then the incidents of hepatitis B would be enormous. However this is simply not true. One has to begin to question the motivation behind the vaccination promotions, especially if they are based upon the scare tactics.

Another one of the vaccines that seems strikingly out of place in newborns is tetanus. How is a two month old baby supposed to step on a rusty nail and become infected with tetanus? If some unwitting parent sits their baby down on a rusty nail which pierced the skin then HRS should bring the full wrath of law down upon this individual, and at that point perhaps a tetanus vaccine might be appropriate. But to give it repeatedly (at least four doses) to a baby seems to border on the absurd.

## **SUMMARY**

The dilemma which faces parents today and their non-allopathic health care providers has not changed on the past 100 years. Except for those who are simply not paying attention, the choice to vaccinate or not to vaccinate often pits common sense and instinct against a lifetime of mind softening propaganda designed to instill a sense of medical correctness. No one wants to look like a crank that is raving against the 'politically correct' vaccination programs. Media articles routinely imply that it is only the poor and ignorant that still question immunization. TV drama, in its heavy handed way, drives home the idea with endless variations of doctors saving everyone's lives through their miraculous vaccinations.

Unfortunately, parental decisions on vaccinations are usually made from a position of ignorance. The information regarding the effectiveness of the vaccines is routinely withheld and manipulated. The truth about their safety, in terms of immediately verifiable damage to those who received them, as

well as the long term effects of vaccinations on the individual and on the human race as a species is not openly discussed.

The statistics on vaccine damage are far from accurate. Do you think the foxes would keep an accurate record of the chicken coop mortality rate? Even the FDA estimates that only about 10% of the doctors report immunization damage. This is obviously an area where you owe it to yourself, your children, and your patients to think for yourself.

## PROGNOSTICATE THE FUTURE

It would be unfair to assume that the topic of vaccinations is strictly related to children. The following are some vaccine headlines that were downloaded off of the Internet.

*"Global effort to develop AIDS vaccine launched. Industry, governments and non profits worldwide to join the search for a most effective way to stop the epidemic - Issuing an "urgent global call" to marshal world resources to develop an AIDS vaccine, the International AIDS Vaccine Initiative today announced initial multi-million dollar funding to help accelerate AIDS vaccine research and development world wide."*

**PANDEMIC INFLUENZA: DISEASE ARCHEOLOGY** On 28 May, The New York Times published a report from Associated Press, Toronto, that a team of Canadian doctors is planning to open the graves of 7 people who died in the 1918-19 pandemic of influenza, at that time called the "Spanish flu," in an effort to retrieve a sample of the virus. The team said it will use extreme caution in probing the lung cavities of the frozen remains, which are located on a Norwegian island in the Arctic, and transporting the specimens to laboratories, so as not to run the risk of releasing the highly lethal pathogen. Toronto pediatrician Peter Lewin, a member of the team, said that if they find what they are after, *"It will make medical history."* If found, the structure of the virus will be analyzed and a vaccine could be made which could prevent similar pandemics in the future.

Lyme's disease; vaccine candidate data reported.

Cancer vaccines; phase one trial with therapeutic melanoma vaccine initiated.

Microcarb announces phase I clinical results of campylobacter vaccine; vaccine found to be safe and immunogenic- MicroCarb Incorporated today announced the successful completion of the phase I clinical trial of its campylobacter vaccine for gastroenteritis and diarrhea. The clinical trial comprised of thirty volunteers, demonstrated the vaccine to be safe and immunogenic.

AIDS vaccine; MicroGeneSys vaccine induces new immune responses in HIV positive subjects. At - risk population; validation of recommendations for pneumococcal vaccination of HIV positive people. People with HIV infection who respond to pneumococcal vaccine develop protective immunity, but it is useless to revaccinate non-responders.

Urinary tract infections (vaccines); Early results suggest vaginal immunization may delay recurrent UTI's- Early results of a phase II clinical trial of vaginal immunization against recurrent urinary tract infections yields promising results.

Pediatrics (influenza); intranasal live flu vaccine protects infants during a fortuitous flu epidemic. Experimental influenza A vaccine protected infants against infection. (Authors note: This is reminiscent of how the Chinese vaccinated against smallpox.)

Pertussis; acellular vaccines may benefit adults - the anticipated US licensure of an acellular pertussis vaccine in 1996 targets primarily immunizations of infants. (Authors note: recall that this same vaccine was rejected by the Swedes as being too dangerous, and they DO have a lower infant mortality than the US.)

Malaria vaccines; recombinant - vector approach elicit protection in rodent model show potential for the development of vaccine candidates against human malaria.

Industry news (patents and technology); European patent announced for strep throat vaccine technology. Siga Pharmaceuticals announced that a European patent covering vaccines for group A

streptococcal pharyngitis was granted to the Rockefeller University in New York.

One of the more bizarre aspects of the vaccination industry is now focused on weight loss. Recent research experiments in Scotland have shown that a single vaccination can reduce fat deposits in experimental animals by 50%. How is this done? Fat storage cells were removed from rats and injected into sheep. The sheep reacted by producing antibodies against these foreign cells. The antibodies, removed from the sheep, were then injected into rats, which stimulated their immune systems to attack and destroy their own fat storage cells. This research was carried out at the Hannah Dairy Research Institute, Ayr, Scotland. According to the researchers the original research was designed to look at the role of body fat in pregnancy, but has led on to the idea that these methods can be used to produce leaner meat.

The main obstacle to the use of this method in humans is said to be the severe adverse reactions which could occur. The researchers believe this <minor detail> can be bypassed by using monoclonal antibodies. To do this, **they are fusing the antibody to a cancer cell, thus making the antibody 'immortal' and allow the endless reproduction of the material produced in the cell.** This would then be injected into humans to allow their immune system to attack their fat storage cells leading to a slim, fat-free future. So far the techniques of genetic engineering which will produce this marvel have succeeded only in cells from mice and other small mammals. Man's cells are proving harder to master, but given time the researchers believe they will end obesity. What else it will do to the body's economy and metabolism does not bear thinking about. These are some of the directions in which immunology is hoping to take mankind. Whether mankind wants to take that route remains to be seen.

*"Our aim should be to enhance natural immunity and to bring an end to the methods which have been shown to be largely ineffective, dangerous in the short- and long-term, and which have sown seeds of ill-health for generations to come."* Henry Lindlahr, MD wrote in 1924 in his book Natural Therapeutics (vol. I Philosophy.)

*'At present the trend of allopathic medicine is undoubtedly towards serum, antitoxin and vaccine treatment. Practically all medical research tends that way. Every now and then the medical journals and the daily papers announce new serums and antitoxins which are claimed to cure or create immunity to certain diseases. Suppose the research and practice of medicine continues along these lines, and are generally accepted; or as the medical associations would have it, are forced upon the public by law. What would be the result?*

*Before a child reached the age of adolescence it would have had injected into its blood the vaccines, serums and antitoxins of smallpox, hydrophobia, tetanus, cerebrospinal meningitis, typhoid fever, diphtheria, pneumonia, scarlet fever, etc. If allopathy were to have its way the blood of the adult would be a mixture of dozens of filthy bacterial extracts, disease taints and destructive drug poisons. The tonsils and adenoids, the appendix and probably a few other parts of the human anatomy would be extirpated in early youth, under compulsion of the health departments. Which is more rational and sensible? The endeavor to produce immunity to disease by making the human body a swill pot for the collection of all sorts of disease taints and poisonous antiseptics and germicides, or to create natural immunity by building up the blood on a normal basis, purifying the body of morbid matter and poisons, correcting mechanical lesions and cultivating the right mental attitude? Which one of these is more likely to be disease building - which more health building?"*

It is interesting to see that 70+ years ago, they had the same concerns we have today. There are many, many more vaccines under development, trial and use at this time. Until this fad passes we are all at risk for the short and long term effects of vaccinations. Each person should be able to make the decision to vaccinate or not to vaccinate for themselves, and parents should be able to decide for their children.

## A HOMEOPATHIC VIEW ON VACCINATIONS

Let us presuppose that we can find a perfectly healthy child, one that has not been ill and one that has not been vaccinated. If we were to vaccinate this child with the recommended four doses of MMR, the medical doctors would now say that the child has a "bullet proof" defense against these three diseases. Since we recognize that no one can be more than perfectly healthy, then any alteration in perfect health must result in a subtraction from that state. This healthy child then becomes less than in perfect health and in becoming less than in perfect health, must necessarily have ill health or disease of some sort and in some degree, however minor. It seems obvious that the protective power of vaccination is due to the creation of a diseased state of the body, not because the body was made stronger or healthier. This may seem like a spurious argument, but it does get to the

core of how the body operates.

Instead of attempting to build the body up, strengthen it in all its characteristics and maintain that perfect health, we instead try to prevent it from becoming ill when we have no idea whether it would become ill in the first place or not. Vaccination is a very poor attempt at disease "insurance" for the body. It is only by an incredible leap of faith that we could believe that the injection of attenuated deadly viruses in a chemical soup containing formaldehyde, mercury and aluminum would be constructive for the body.

Being vaccinated is not like buying homeowners insurance, where you purchase the insurance because you hope that nothing terrible will happen to your home. You have invested a small amount of money for the insurance and then generally you forget about it until the next time the premium comes due to be paid. When you are vaccinated, the price that is paid for this type of "insurance" against disease is not only the immediate short term suffering that the injection causes but the potential generations long alterations that may occur. You can always cancel your homeowners insurance, or switch to a different policy. Once you've been vaccinated, you have none of these options, the changes caused to the body are often irreversible.

Many doctors attempt to find something homeopathic about the concept and practice of vaccinations. Primarily this is due to the observation that small amounts of diseased material are being used to stimulate the body to prevent it from becoming ill. This is the foundation of isopathy, which is defined as the administration of potentized substances from the patient's surrounding environment or from the patient himself. These substances seem to be directly associated with the disease. Hahnemann states in the footnote to Aphorism #56:

*A third mode of employing medicines in disease has been attempted to be created by means of Isopathy, as it is called that is to say, a method of curing a given disease by the same contagious principle that produces it. But even granting that this could be done, yet, after all, seeing the virus is given to the patient highly potentized, and consequently, in an altered condition the cure is effected only by opposing a simillimum to a simillimum. To attempt to cure by means of the same morbid potency (per Idem) contradicts all normal human understanding and hence all experience. Those who first brought Isopathy to notice, probably thought of the benefit which mankind received from cow pox vaccination ... But both cow pox and small pox are only similar, in no way the same disease.*

If vaccinations have any basis in homeopathy, then it is only by a major perversion of the founding homeopathic principles. Remember that homeopathy is a system of curing '-similia similibus curantur '- whereas vaccination is not a curative measure at all. Vaccination is an attempt at prevention. Since prevention is acknowledged to be better than cure, its obvious that they cannot be the same. Therefore vaccination does not have a valid standing in homeopathy with perhaps the exception of smallpox for the reasons previously mentioned.

Burnett states that he feels vaccination is a form of homoeoprophylaxis since doctors used vaccinae (cowpox) to prevent variola (smallpox) by the fact that they are similar- but different pustular diseases. Again referring back to an earlier portion of this monograph, the basis of vaccination was established on the observations made in smallpox. And if using minute quantities of cowpox can be considered homoeoprophylaxis against smallpox, where does that leave all of the other vaccinations? For vaccination against rubella they used the rubella virus, for vaccination against mumps or measles, those are the viruses in use and when vaccinating against polio, they used the three most common strains of polio virus, etc. So we have no basis for like curing like and the only homoeoprophylactic vaccine was that used in smallpox. Since smallpox is no longer in use, vaccination has nothing in common with homeopathy as far as principles or practice or theory.

It is critical to note that those that remain unvaccinated are not equally prone to catch smallpox or whatever disease is currently in fashion. It is quite likely, due to factors of heredity, diet, lifestyle, nutritional status, etc. that some of the unvaccinated population are already immune to the disease state. This has been demonstrated time and time again. The great plagues that swept through Europe may have decimated 70 to 80% of the population, but there are always those that seem to be immune to the disease, whether we are dealing with typhus, typhoid smallpox or the plague.

What vaccination attempts to do is make everyone equally immune to the disease. This does not take into account the persons individual susceptibility or strength which is what we study during our homeopathic case taking of a patient. Preliminary evidence that those people that contract advanced stages of polio have structural weaknesses in the nervous system that allow them to move into the full blown paralytic state. If we take a healthy unvaccinated person and vaccinate them, we have weakened their defensive structures. If they did have a natural immunity to that disease state, we

may well see that compromised which may then show up as an autoimmune disorder or even a new disease state such as the atypical measles.

On August 8, 1996 CNN heralded a new medical discovery. It seems that about 1% of the population has a genetic mutation that makes it impossible for HIV to cause AIDS when sexually transmitted. Scientists are still investigating whether or not this genetic factor protects against needle stick or blood transfusion causes. If an AIDS vaccine were available today, would anyone bother to run the genetic testing necessary to see if you need to be vaccinated? Not likely, but injecting HIV directly into the body may overwhelm this natural resistance. Allopathic medicine sees the body as being inept and incompetent to defend itself against disease. Perhaps we need protection against the vaccinators even more!

It is interesting that the remedy most commonly found for the ill effects of vaccination is silicea. Studying this remedy in depth, is apparent that it takes a severe disruption of a vital force to weaken the physical structure and the vital force to the point where a patient moves into this constitutional state. Again orthodox medicine vaccinates everyone equally without regard for their particular strengths or weaknesses; a vaccine delivered to someone who is already in a silicea state or has weaknesses such as in the acid remedies may well be even more devastated by the interference in their vital force. The only thing that homeopathy has in common with vaccination is that homeopathy is the only way to ease or erase the vaccine's damage to the vital force.

### HOMEOPATHIC VACCINATION

There has been, and is a strong camp of followers that believe that homeopathy can prophylactically prevent diseases from occurring. For example, some well known homeopaths have made the following comments.

*"Inoculation with any type of serum in any of these infectious diseases is harmful and can easily and safely be replaced by a remedy or remedies, proved according to our law of similars that "likes cures like" on healthy individuals. Nosodes or diseased products of the actual disease are often the most active preventatives."* by Dr. Dorothy Shepherd, [Homeopathy In Epidemic Diseases](#).

*"We must look to homeopathy for our protection as well as our cure. Now you will find that for prophylaxis there is required a less degree of similitude that is necessary for curing. The remedy will not have to be so similar to prevent disease as to cure it, and these remedies in daily use will enable you to prevent a large number of people from becoming sick."* by Dr. J.T. Kent, [Lectures on Homeopathic Philosophy](#).

Hahnemann describes his use of belladonna to prevent scarlet fever; *"Who can deny that the perfect prevention of infection from the devastating scourge, and the discovery of a means whereby this divine aim can be surely obtained, would offer infinite advantages over any mode of treatment, be it of the most incomparable kind so ever? The remedy capable of maintaining the healthy uninfected by the miasm of scarlatina, I was so fortunate as to discover."* by Dr. S. Hahnemann, [Lesser Writings](#).

*"In homeopathy there is no immunization as such, but there are remedies that can build up immunity to infections. They can also act as curative agents where disease has developed. These remedies carry no risk of detrimental affects, they are absolutely safe. Dr. A. Pulford wrote 'no disease will arise without an existing predisposition to that disease. It is the absence of the predisposition to any particular disease that makes us immune to it. Homeopathy alone is capable of removing these predispositions.'" by L.J. Speight, [Homeopathy and Immunization](#).*

Can a person be homeopathically vaccinated to prevent them from developing a disease state? Dr. Dorothy Shepherd states that a homeopathic preparation of the whooping cough bacillus was administered daily for two weeks to 364 cases after contact with the disease and not one child developed whooping cough. Another homeopathic physician gave lathyrus sativa to eighty two people who were in close proximity to the suspect area of poliomyelitis with 12 people being direct contacts. There are 63 children and 19 adults in the group and not one developed the disease.

The following homeoprophylactic remedies are recommended by Leslie Speight in her book ["Homoeopathy and Immunization."](#)

Chicken Pox - Varicella 30, one pill or tablet at 4 hourly intervals for 3 doses in one day. Then one dose weekly while there is a risk of infection.

Diphtheria - Diphtherinum 30, one pill or tablet at 4 hourly intervals for 3 doses in one day. Then one dose weekly while there is a risk of infection. Dr. Grimmer, a famous homoeopathic physician, recommended PYROGEN. If the first mentioned remedy is not available immediately take this in the same dosage.

German Measles - Rubella 30, one pill or tablet at 4 hourly intervals for 3 doses in one day. Subsequently one dose weekly if there is still risk of infection. If rubella is not available immediately take PULSATILLA 12 or 30 in the same dosage.

Influenza - When there is an epidemic of this common trouble take one pill or tablet of INFLUENZINUM 30 night and morning for 3 days. Repeat at weekly intervals while there is a risk. Some homoeopathic chemists offer two homoeopathic remedies in combination - Influenzinum and Bacillinum - which seems to be effective.

They would advise regarding dosage.

Measles - Morbillinum 30, one pill or tablet at 4 hourly intervals for 3 doses in one day. Then one dose weekly until the trouble has passed. If only the 12th potency is available give in the same dosage. In the 200th potency this remedy should be taken once a week for 3 doses. If the above mentioned is not at hand PULSATILLA 12 or 30 should be given as prescribed for Morbillinum 12 and 30. In many cases Morbillinum will help to clear any after effects of measles.

Mumps - Parotidinum 30 one pill or tablet at 4 hourly intervals for 3 doses in one day. For the after effects of mumps Pilocarpine 6 night and morning should be given for a few days but stopped as soon as an improvement commences and not repeated unless the symptoms recur.

Polio - The incidence of this much feared disease has decreased dramatically and the vaccine has, apparently, brought about this decline. However, it seems that there are risks; pregnant women should not be vaccinated as it causes a 20% increase in the risk of stillbirths during the first four months of pregnancy. Polio should always be under the care of a doctor (homoeopathic if possible). Dr. Grimmer recommends Lathyrus Sativa 30 or 200 once every three weeks during an epidemic and he claims that there will be no case of paralysis. Another homoeopathic remedy that seems to cover the symptoms of polio is Gelsemium.

Scarlet Fever - Scarlatinum 30, one pill or tablet at 4 hourly intervals for 3 doses in one day. Then one dose at weekly intervals for 3 weeks.

Small Pox - Variolinum 6 or 30, one pill or tablet night and morning during the trouble. An alternative remedy is MALANDRINUM 30 in the same dosage.

Typhoid - Typhoidinum 30, one pill or tablet at 4 hourly intervals for three doses in a day. This may be continued throughout the epidemic.

Typhus - All the authorities consulted stress the importance of cleanliness and omit to mention remedies. However, one reliable source recommends HYOSCYAMUS or BAPTISIA. One pill or tablet of either in the 12th potency, night and morning, should be taken for several days. Repeat if considered advisable.

Whooping Cough - Pertussin 30 night and morning once a week for 6 to 8 weeks.

Other authors have other immunization potencies and schedules that they use. In fact, personal preference seems to be the deciding factor as to how much and how often it is given to who. We have large quantities of anecdotal evidence that indicates that homeopathic remedies can be used to prevent disease.

One excellent example is from Dr. Eizayaga. In August 1974, there was a terrible outbreak of meningitis in Brazil. He used Meningococcinum 10 CH in liquid form. He provided the remedy to about 18,000 children under 15 and 640 children above age 15. Among the 6,340 children that did not receive the remedy, 17 cases in the under 15 group and 15 cases in the over 15 age group contracted meningitis. This is a total of 32 cases compared to the 4 cases reported in the much larger remedy cohort.

Homeopathic immunization sounds wonderful, it allows us to help more people and it allows us the

opportunity to do something well that the <real doctors> do so poorly. This is very flattering to the homeopathic ego, but there are several points to consider. First of all, if a remedy is administered and a person has no particular susceptibility to that remedy, then the remedy will not have any significant effect on the individual's vital force. If you repeatedly administer this same remedy (as per the schedules above) to this same individual, eventually the individual will develop proving symptoms of the remedy. Why would anyone believe that nosodes such as morbillinum or pertussin would be exempt from this law? This is standard knowledge and standard operating procedure when proving a new remedy. Trying to 'prove' a nosode on a patient is not the same thing as giving them immunity. In fact it may sensitize them and have the opposite effect. Those individuals that have a sensitivity to a remedy will demonstrate changes from that remedy to one degree or another, perhaps the results will not be quite as we expect.

Through years of exposure to dealing with patients and their vital force, we have come to think of the vital force as being an intelligence that drives the system. However, it is not. **The vital force is responsible for maintaining the body's homeostasis on a second by second basis with no thought as to protecting itself in the future.** For example your vital force could not know if you were going to eat half a banana cream pie for dessert at lunch time, it has no way of predicting whether you will be underdressed when you go to a football game and are exposed to a cold dry wind, nor does it have anyway of predicting whether you would be receiving a sufficient amount of viral particles when exposed to someone that had polio to develop the disease. The vital force does not have a crystal ball that allows it to prognosticate what will happen to it, what challenges it will face, what circumstances will be presented to it in anything other than the instant of now. We know the job of the vital force to be the defense of the organism. Symptoms are just the expression of this defensive posture. **We can always and only count on the vital force doing whatever it takes to insure the short term survival of the organism.**

If this means sacrificing the fingers and toes to frostbite in order to keep the body core warm, it will do so. If it has to run a fever to burn up toxins, it will do this. If it becomes threatened by the viruses lurking inside its own body cells, it will attack these and develop what we would call an autoimmune disorder. When faced with parasites, it will go into its 'Montezuma's Revenge' pattern of diarrhea. In short, everything the vital force does is the best it can in the short run to deal with the threats it is facing at that moment.

In order for a homeopathic immunization to take place, we have to presume that (1) the vital force knows WHY we administered a remedy. How would the patient's vital force know if we were trying to immunize it, prove a remedy on it or just made a mistake and administered the wrong remedy? To believe that we could administer a dose of lathyrus and expect that this will prevent polio is questionable. (2) There is no evidence that your vital force can store the information contained in the remedy for use ten minutes from now, ten days from now, or three months from now, it simply does not have that capability. If the vital force can't store the information, it cannot use the remedy when it faces a situation when the remedy may be required.

This would be similar to having a child come into your office and you adjust T7. Not because it was subluxated, but because you <KNOW> that someday it will be and you are adjusting it now so that in the years to come, you have [prevented] this subluxation from occurring by chiropractic prophylaxis. This must be how surgeons think. They go in to remove a diseased gall bladder and remove a perfectly healthy appendix because <someday> it might rupture. This is medical prophylaxis. You could tell your mechanic to change the oil in your car 5 times in a row so that all your oil changes are done for the next 15,000 miles. This is mechanical prophylaxis.

Can anyone guarantee that this child will develop a subluxation of T7 in the future? There are probably people that are naturally immune to problems at T7. Can anyone guarantee that a patient will have a diseased appendix in the future? Can anyone guarantee that you will be exposed to enough diphtheria or hepatitis B in the future that you will contract the disease? Your vital force can't guarantee it and neither can anyone else. Homeopathic prophylaxis can't work any better than any other type prophylaxis. The only evidence to the contrary is in cases of epidemics. Homeopathy has an incredible history in the treatment and prevention of disease during epidemic conditions.

Almost by definition, an epidemic exposes everyone to one degree or another to the contagion. Otherwise it would not be an epidemic. Those with unique susceptibilities will be the first to fall ill, get the illness to the maximum degree possible, and probably die quickly. Others with less or no susceptibility will need longer or stronger exposure to the illness, and may still be able to successfully fight it out and survive. Then there will be a small percentage that are immune to the disease no

matter what.

The weakness of using repeated doses (possibly proving the remedy), is also its greatest strength. By repeating the dose daily or weekly, you have the possibility of boosting the vital force and fending the illness off even in the prodromal stage. For example, measles will incubate in a person for 7-14 days before symptoms occur. If we can provide the correct remedy during this 'window of opportunity' it may be enough help to the vital force that the person will remain essentially symptom free. It is the repetition of the remedy during the incubation period that saves the day, not the fact that you gave a dose of belladonna 200 in childhood and 20 years later they won't get scarlet fever.

This must be contrasted to vaccination. The vaccines do produce a physiological effect (sometimes) which alters the antibody structures and since the cell structures do have some humoral memory, they are able to respond to later exposures of the disease state. The vital force utilizes a change in the physical structure which allowed it to have a memory of a disease condition or pattern. People who have chickenpox only get it once, because of this humoral immunity. The vital force essentially 'stores' the information in the body, not in the 'etheric' as a remedy that was given days or months before, but in the physical form of the body. Unfortunately there are so many drawback to this type of vaccine approach that it is actually worse than not doing anything at all. However, the goal of preventing diseases is an admirable one, constantly worth striving for.

We must recognize that preventive methods are never specific. Prevention is better than cure and consists of sanitation, personal hygiene, attention to diet, exercise, rest, supplementation, etc. These are general measures because no one can specifically fix something that is not broken. Once we turn our attention to true prevention, vaccines will be recognized as the hazard they are, and this will leave us with cleaning up the mess that has been made so far. We will face a legacy of damaged children becoming damaged adults, possibly adulterating the gene pool and affecting one or more generations down line. This is where homeopathy can truly shine through. As homeopaths we have specific and well directed access to the vital force and we can begin to move people in the direction of cure, undoing some of what has been wrought in this age old battle with pestilence.

#### **FINAL PHILOSOPHICAL MUSINGS**

Bad science that is politically correct will bury good science that is not.

This was part of the PR campaign that made these myths so holy and so certain that no one would ever openly discuss them.

There is obviously a continuum of neurological damage that is found in an infant that gets vaccinated.

It is worthwhile to note that the only countries that have mandatory vaccination policies now are communist countries, former communist countries and the United States.

**THIS IS OBVIOUSLY AN AREA WHERE YOU NEED TO BE ABLE TO THINK FOR YOURSELF.**

**WE CAN ALWAYS AND ONLY COUNT ON THE VITAL FORCE DOING WHATEVER IT TAKES TO INSURE THE SHORT TERM SURVIVAL OF THE ORGANISM.**

**THE GOAL OF PREVENTING DISEASES IS AN ADMIRABLE ONE, CONSTANTLY WORTH STRIVING FOR.**

**BIBLIOGRAPHY**

1. A Shot in the Dark by Harris L. Coulter & Barbara Loe Fisher, published by Avery Publishing Group Inc.: Garden City Park, New York; 1932
2. DPT A Shot in the Dark by Harris L. Coulter and Barbara Loe Fisher, published by Warner Communication Company: New York, New York; 1985
3. The Immunization Decision by Randall Neustaedter, published by North Atlantic Books: Berkeley, California; 1990
4. Vaccination by Viera Scheibner Ph. D., published by Australian Print Group: Maryborough, Victoria, Australia; 1993
5. Whooping Cough, the DPT Vaccine and Reducing Vaccine Reactions, published by the National Vaccine Information Center :: Dissatisfied Parents Together; 1989
6. Bechamp or Pasteur by E. Douglas Hume, published by Essence of Health: South Africa; 1976
7. Confessions of a Medical Heretic by Robert S. Mendelsohn, M.D., published by Warner

Communications Company: New York, New York; 1979

8. Disease and History by Frederick F. Cartwright and Michael D. Biddiss, published by Dorset Press: New York; 1972

9. Vaccination: Social Violence and Criminality The Medical Assault on the American Brain by Harris L. Coulter, published by North Atlantic Books: Berkeley, California; 1932

10. Homeopathy for Pregnancy, Birth and Your Baby's First Year by Miranda Castro, published by St. Martin's Press: New York; 1992, 1993

11. Vaccines: Are They Really Safe and Effective? by Neil Z. Miller, published by New Atlantean Press: Santa Fe, New Mexico; 1992, 1993

12. Immunization: The Reality Behind the Myth by Walene James, published by Bergin & Garvey: Westport, Connecticut; 1995

13. Vaccinations, A Bad Case of Scientific Myopia by Kris Gaublomme, published by Homeopathic Links: Bern Switzerland; 1994

14. House Votes to Appropriate Funds To Compensate Children Injured By Vaccines Before October 1988 by Dissatisfied Parents Together, published by DPT News, Vol. 4, No. 1: Vienna, VA.; 1988

15. Viral Vaccines: Vital or Vulnerable by Glen Dettman, Ph.D. and Archie Kalokerinos, M.D., published by Health Consciousness, Vol. 14, No. 3; August 1989

16. Vaccination Doesn't Work by Terence J. Bovill, published by Health Consciousness, Vol. 13, No. 5; 1992

17. Live Virus Vaccines and Genetic Mutation by Harold E. Buttram, M.D., published by Health Consciousness; April 1990

18. Discovering the 'C' Connection by Glen Dettman, Ph.D. and Archie Kalokerinos, M.D., published by Health Consciousness; December 1990

19. Immunization Kit Learn the Facts, published by The National Health Federation: Monrovia, CA; 1979

20. Textbook of Medical Physiology by Guyton, 5th edition, published by W.B. Saunders Co.: Philadelphia

21. Vaccination and Immunization: Dangers, Delusions and Alternatives by Leon Chaitow, published by The C.W.Daniel Company: England; 1987

22. The Vaccine Guide: Making an Informed Choice by Randall Neustaedter OMD., published by North Atlantic Books: Berkeley, CA; 1996

23. Vaccination? A Review of Risks and Alternatives by Isaac Golden, published by Blessington Word Services: Australia; 1989

24. A Dictionary of Homeopathic Medical Terminology (2nd Edition) by Jay Yasgur, R.Ph.,MSc. published by Van Hoy Publishers, Greenville, PA, 1992

25. Treatise on Homoeopathic Medicine by Francisco Eizayaga, MD published by Ediciones Maracel, Buenos Aires, Brazil, 1991

26. Organon of Medicine by R.E. Dudgeon, MD, Sixth Edition published by Indian Books & Periodicals Syndicate, New Delhi, India

Author : Towle, Daniel P.

Article Title : Vaccination Has Nothing To Do With Immunization

Publication Name : Prover, The: The Journal of the Chiropractic Academy of Homeopathy

Volume & Number : V. 7; N. 3

Publication Date : 09-30-96

Material appearing in The Prover is for informational purposes only and should not be considered advisory or prescriptive. Always consult a licensed health care provider about using any such information as therapy. Copyright © The Global Homeopathic Alliance 2001

[Daniel P. Towle, D.C., D.N.B.H.E., P.A.](#)

6536 Stadium Drive, Zephyrhills, Florida, 33540

Phone: (813) 782-2690 Fax: (813) 782-3275

[Website design and Hosting by Cyberjester.com](#)