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Abstract

Using the ideas of Keller, Maslov introduced in the mid-1960’s an index for Lagrangian loops, whose definition was clarified by Arnold. Leray extended Arnold results by defining an index depending on two paths of Lagrangian planes with transversal endpoints. We show that the combinatorial and topological properties of Leray’s index suffice to recover all Lagrangian and symplectic intersection indices commonly used in symplectic geometry and its applications to Hamiltonian and quantum mechanics. As a by-product we obtain a new simple formula for the Hörmander index, and a definition of the Conley–Zehnder index for symplectic paths with arbitrary endpoints. Our definition leads to a formula for the Conley–Zehnder index of a product of two paths.
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1. Introduction

In the Preface to his Lagrangian Analysis and Quantum Mechanics [22] Jean Leray adds a Historical note where he tells us that [. . . In Moscow in 1967 I.V. Arnold asked me my thoughts on Maslov’s work. The present book is an answer to that question.]. One of the most original features of Leray’s “answer” to Arnol’d’s question—and perhaps one of the most forgotten parts of Leray’s mathematical work—is the introduction of a function $m$ associating an integer to each pair of Lagrangian paths with same origin and transversal endpoints. This function—which Leray calls “Maslov index”—is uniquely characterized by two properties. The first of these properties is of combinatorial nature: if $A, A', A''$ are three such Lagrangian paths defined on $[0,1]$, then

$$m(A, A') - m(A, A'') + m(A', A'') = \text{Inert}(A(1), A'(1), A''(1)).$$

(Inert is the index of inertia of a triple of Lagrangian planes), and the second is topological:

$$m(A, A')$$

is locally constant on its domain.

In [13] I proposed an extension of Leray’s index to the nontransversal case using the properties of the signature of a triple of Lagrangian planes, due to Wall [38] and Kashiwara (in Lion and Vergne [24]). The paper [13] was preceded by two “Notes aux Comptes Rendus” [11,12], whose aim was to advertise and make totally rigorous the constructions in Lion and Vergne [24]. My constructions were taken up by Cappell, Lee, and Miller in [2] who compared my extension of the Leray index to other indices appearing in the literature (beware: the reference “M. de Gosson” is misspelled “E. Gossen” in this paper). I should add at this point that Dazord [7] had previously proposed an extension of Leray’s index, using different methods; however (but neither Dazord nor I were aware of this at that time) Leray himself had constructed an extension of his index, in [23], using symplectic reduction techniques.

The aim of this paper is to propose an unifying approach to the theory of Lagrangian and symplectic intersection indices (“Maslov indices”) based on the properties of the Leray index; we will show that the combinatorial and topological properties of that index allow a simple and elegant construction of all major Maslov indices for Lagrangian and symplectic paths available in the literature. In addition our approach leads to a very simple formula expressing the so-called Hörmander index in terms of the signature of a triple of Lagrangian planes, and to a redefinition of the Conley–Zehnder index for symplectic path with arbitrary endpoints; this redefinition allows us to prove a general product formula. In addition we show that the Conley–Zehnder index is simply related to the Maslov index and Morse’s concavity index when the endpoint of the path satisfies a certain transversality condition.

We shortly discuss some related results obtained by other authors in the Conclusion to this article.

**Notation 1 (General).** Let $X = \mathbb{R}^n$; the vector space $Z = X \times X^*$ is endowed with the canonical symplectic form defined by:

$$\omega(z, z') = \langle p, x' \rangle - \langle p', x \rangle,$$

if $z = (x, p), z' = (x', p')$. The symplectic group of $(Z, \omega)$ will be denoted by $\text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$. The unitary group $U(n)$ is identified with a subgroup of $\text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$. We denote by $\text{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R})$ the Lagrangian Grassmannian of $(Z, \omega)$. We will write $X = X \times 0$ and $X^* = 0 \times X^*$.

**Notation 2 (Cohomological).** Let $E$ be a set, $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, and $(G, +)$ an Abelian group. A $k$-cochains on $E$ with values in $G$ is a function $f : E^{k+1} \to G$. The coboundary $\partial f$ of a $k$-cochain is the $(k+1)$-cochain defined by:

$$\partial f(a_0, \ldots, a_{k+1}) = \sum_{j=0}^{k+1} (-1)^j f(a_0, \ldots, \hat{a}_j, \ldots, a_{k+1}),$$

where the cap $\hat{\cdot}$ deletes the term it covers. We have $\partial^2 f = 0$. A $k$-cochain $f$ is a coboundary if there exists a cochain $g$ such that $f = \partial g$; a cochain $f$ is a cocycle if $\partial f = 0$. 

**Entia non sunt multiplicanda praeter necessitatem (William of Ockham)**
2. The Leray index

We denote by $C_{\ell_0}(\text{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R}))$ the set of all continuous paths $[0, 1] \to \text{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R})$ joining a given base point $\ell_0$ to $\ell$ in $\text{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R})$. Let $\sim$ be the equivalence relation on $C_{\ell_0}(\text{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R}))$ defined by $A \sim A'$ if and only if $A$ and $A'$ are homotopic with fixed endpoints. Let $\pi^{\text{Lag}} : \text{Lag}_\infty(2n, \mathbb{R}) \to \text{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R})$ be the universal covering of the Lagrangian Grassmannian; as a set $\text{Lag}_\infty(2n, \mathbb{R}) = C_{\ell_0}(\text{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R}))/\sim$; for $\ell_\infty \in \text{Lag}_\infty(2n, \mathbb{R})$ we write $\pi^{\text{Lag}}(\ell_\infty) = \ell$, and we will say that $\ell_\infty$ covers $\ell$.

2.1. Leray’s index

Using the intersection theory of Lefschetz chains, Leray constructs in [22, Ch. I, §2.5], a function

$$m : C_X^*(\text{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R})) \times C_X^*(\text{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R})) \to \mathbb{Z},$$

defined for all pairs $(A, A')$ with transversal endpoints; this function has the following homotopy property:

$$m(A, A') = m(A'', A''')$$

if and only if $A \sim A''$ and $A' \sim A'''$. We can thus view $m$ as a function:

$$m : \{(\ell_\infty, \ell'_\infty) : \ell \cap \ell' = 0\} \to \mathbb{Z}. $$

Leray’s index is characterized by the two following properties:

$$m(\ell_\infty, \ell'_\infty) - m(\ell_\infty, \ell''_\infty) + m(\ell'_\infty, \ell''_\infty) = \text{Inert}(\ell, \ell', \ell''),$$

$$((\ell, \ell', \ell'') \text{ covering } (\ell_\infty, \ell'_\infty, \ell''_\infty)),$$

and

$$m \text{ is locally constant on its domain.}$$

The integer $\text{Inert}(\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3)$ is the index of inertia of the Lagrangian triple $(\ell, \ell', \ell'')$; it is defined in the following way (Leray [22, Ch. I, §2.5]): the transversality condition,

$$\ell \cap \ell' = \ell' \cap \ell'' = \ell'' \cap \ell = 0,$$

being equivalent to

$$Z = \ell \oplus \ell' = \ell' \oplus \ell'' = \ell'' \oplus \ell,$$

the relation $z + z' + z'' = 0$ ($z \in \ell$, $z' \in \ell'$, $z'' \in \ell''$) defines three quadratic forms $z \mapsto \omega(z', z'')$, $z' \mapsto \omega(z'', z)$, $z'' \mapsto \omega(z, z')$ such that $\omega(z', z'') = \omega(z'', z) = \omega(z, z')$. These quadratic forms have the same index of inertia $\text{Inert}(\ell, \ell', \ell'')$.

The function $m$ (which Leray calls “Maslov index”) is very simple to describe explicitly in when $n = 1$. Identifying $A_\infty(1)$ with the set of all pairs $\ell(\theta) = (e^{i\theta}, \theta), \theta \in \mathbb{R}$ we have $\pi^{\text{Lag}}(\ell(\theta)) = \ell = e^{i\theta}$, and

$$m(\ell(\theta), \ell(\theta')) = \left[\frac{\theta - \theta'}{2\pi}\right],$$

[-] being the integer part function. In the case $n > 1$ it can be explicitly computed using a formula due to Souriau [36]. Let $W(n, \mathbb{C})$ be the submanifold of $U(n, \mathbb{C})$ consisting of symmetric matrices:

$$W(n, \mathbb{C}) = \{u \in U(n, \mathbb{C}) : u = u^T\}$$

($u^T = u^* \text{ the transpose of } u$). The mapping,

$$\text{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R}) \ni \ell = u X^* \mapsto uu^T \in W(n, \mathbb{C}),$$

is a homeomorphism identifying $\text{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R})$ with $W(n, \mathbb{C})$ and $\text{Lag}_\infty(2n, \mathbb{R})$, with

$$W_\infty(n, \mathbb{C}) = \{(w, \theta) : w \in W(n, \mathbb{C}), \det w = e^{i\theta}\}.$$

Souriau’s formula says that
The signature (of a triple of Lagrangian planes).

\[ \tau = \text{Maslov index is defined on loops (or paths) of Lagrangian planes, while Lagrangian Grassmannian.} \]

\[ \text{follows: the composition of the natural isomorphism} \]

\[ \pi \]

\[ \text{when} \]

\[ n \]

\[ \text{eigenvalues of the quadratic form:} \]

\[ \text{where} \]

\[ \text{m possesses in addition following property: let} \]

\[ \gamma \] and \( \nu \) be two elements of \( \pi_1[\text{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R})] \). We have

\[ m(\nu, \mu_n, \mu_n') = m(\nu, \mu_n) + m(\mu_n') - m(\nu), \]

where \( m(\nu) \) is the Maslov index of \( \mu_n \in \pi_1[\text{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R})] \cong (\mathbb{Z}, +) \). Recall that the Maslov index for loops is defined as follows: the composition of the natural isomorphism \( \pi_1[\text{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R})] \cong \pi_1[W(n, \mathbb{C})] \) and of the morphism,

\[ \pi_1[W(n, \mathbb{C})] \ni [\gamma] \mapsto \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\gamma} \frac{d(\det w)}{(\det w)} \in \mathbb{Z}, \]

is an isomorphism

\[ m : \pi_1[\text{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R})] \ni [\gamma] \mapsto m(\gamma) \in (\mathbb{Z}, +). \]

2.2. The index \( \mu \) and the Wall–Kashiwara signature

We now define an index \( \mu \) by the formula:

\[ \mu(\ell, \ell_n) = 2m(\ell, \ell_n) - n, \]

when \( n = 1 \) we have, in view of (3),

\[ \mu(\ell(\theta), \ell(\theta')) = 2\left[ \frac{\theta - \theta'}{2\pi} \right]_{\text{ant}}, \]

where \([k]_{\text{ant}} = \frac{1}{2}(k - [-k])\) is the antisymmetric part of the integer part function \([\cdot]\).

Formula (1) becomes

\[ \mu(\ell, \ell_n') - \mu(\ell_n, \ell_n') + \mu(\ell_n', \ell_n'') = \tau(\ell, \ell', \ell''), \]

where

\[ \tau(\ell, \ell', \ell'') = 2\text{Inert}(\ell, \ell', \ell'') - n. \]

One easily proves (de Gosson [13]) that \( \tau(\ell, \ell', \ell'') = \tau^+ - \tau^- \) where \( \tau^+ \) (resp. \( \tau^- \)) is the number of \( > 0 \) (resp. \( < 0 \))

eigenvalues of the quadratic form:

\[ Q(z, z', z'') = \omega(z, z') - \omega(z, z'') + \omega(z', z''); \]

this identifies \( \tau(\ell, \ell', \ell'') \) with the Wall–Kashiwara index [2,24,38]. For the sake of brevity, we will call \( \tau \) the signature (of a triple of Lagrangian planes).

Remark 3. The signature \( \tau \) is sometime called “Maslov index” in the literature. This is however somewhat misleading: the Maslov index is defined on loops (or paths) of Lagrangian planes, while \( \tau \) depends on (triples of) points in the Lagrangian Grassmannian.

The signature \( \tau \) is a totally antisymmetric 2-cocycle, that is \( \varepsilon^* \tau = (-1)^{\text{sgn}(\varepsilon)} \tau \) (\( \varepsilon \) any permutation of \( (\ell, \ell', \ell'') \)) and \( \partial \tau = 0 \); it has the following properties (see [2,24,17]);

- \( \tau \) is a linear symplectic invariant:

\[ \tau(s\ell, s\ell, s\ell'') = \tau(\ell, \ell', \ell''), \]

for all \( s \in \text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}) \);
Proof.
The statement (i) was proven in de Gosson [13]. (The uniqueness statement is obvious: if between two functions satisfying conditions (16), then
\begin{equation}
\tau(X^*, \ell_M, X) = \text{sign} M,
\end{equation}
where \text{sign} M is the difference between the number of > 0 and < 0 eigenvalues of M;

Let \( \tau' \) and \( \tau'' \) the signatures on \( \text{Lag}(2n', \mathbb{R}) \) and \( \text{Lag}(2n'', \mathbb{R}) \). Then \( \tau = \tau' \oplus \tau'' \) is the signature on \( \text{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R}) \), \( n = n' + n'' \), and
\begin{equation}
\tau(\ell'_1 \oplus \ell'_1, \ell'_2 \oplus \ell'_2, \ell''_1 \oplus \ell''_1) = \tau'(\ell'_1, \ell'_2) + \tau''(\ell''_1, \ell''_2).
\end{equation}

Now, let us come to the crucial point: \( \tau(\ell, \ell', \ell'') \) is defined for all triples \( (\ell, \ell', \ell'') \); we may thus define \( \mu(\ell_\infty, \ell'_\infty) \) for an arbitrary pair \( (\ell_\infty, \ell'_\infty) \) by choosing \( \ell''_\infty \in \text{Lag}_\infty(2n, \mathbb{R}) \) such that \( \ell''_\infty \cap \ell = \ell''_\infty \cap \ell' = 0 \) and setting
\begin{equation}
\mu(\ell_\infty, \ell'_\infty) = \mu(\ell_\infty, \ell''_\infty) - \mu(\ell''_\infty, \ell'_\infty) + \tau(\ell, \ell', \ell'').
\end{equation}

In fact, using the cocycle property \( \partial \tau = 0 \) one shows (de Gosson [13]) that the right-hand side of (15) does not depend on the choice of \( \ell''_\infty \), justifying the notation \( \mu(\ell_\infty, \ell'_\infty) \) in the left-hand side. We will call \( \mu \) the Leray index on \( \text{Lag}_\infty(2n, \mathbb{R}) \).

Following theorem summarizes the main properties of the Leray index:

**Theorem 4.**

(i) The Leray index is the only function
\begin{equation}
\mu: \text{Lag}_\infty(2n, \mathbb{R}) \times \text{Lag}_\infty(2n, \mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{R},
\end{equation}

having the two following properties:
\begin{equation}
\mu(\ell_\infty, \ell'_\infty) - \mu(\ell_\infty, \ell''_\infty) + \mu(\ell''_\infty, \ell'_\infty) = \tau(\ell, \ell', \ell''),
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
\mu \text{ is locally constant on } \{(\ell_\infty, \ell'_\infty): \ell \cap \ell' = 0\}.
\end{equation}

(ii) In addition \( \mu \) is locally constant on the sets
\begin{equation}
\text{Lag}_\infty^2(2n; k) = \{(\ell_\infty, \ell'_\infty): \text{dim}(\ell \cap \ell') = k\},
\end{equation}
for \( 1 \leq k \leq n \).

(iii) We have:
\begin{equation}
\mu(\gamma \ell_\infty, \gamma' \ell'_\infty) = \mu(\ell_\infty, \ell'_\infty) + 2(m(\gamma) - m(\gamma')),
\end{equation}
for all \( \gamma, \gamma' \in \pi_1[\text{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R})] \). [In particular the range of \( \mu \) is equal to \( \mathbb{Z} \).]

**Proof.** The statement (i) was proven in de Gosson [13]. (The uniqueness statement is obvious: if \( \delta \) is the difference between two functions satisfying conditions (16), then
\begin{equation}
\delta(\ell_\infty, \ell'_\infty) = \delta(\ell_\infty, \ell''_\infty) - \delta(\ell''_\infty, \ell'_\infty),
\end{equation}
for all \( \ell''_\infty \) hence \( \delta \) is locally constant on \( \text{Lag}_\infty(2n, \mathbb{R}) \times \text{Lag}_\infty(2n, \mathbb{R}) \); since \( \text{Lag}_\infty(2n, \mathbb{R}) \) is connected \( \delta \) is in fact constant; taking \( \ell_\infty = \ell'_\infty \) that constant is 0.) (ii) The kernel of the quadratic form \( Q \) is isomorphic to \( (\ell \cap \ell') \times (\ell' \cap \ell'') \times (\ell'' \cap \ell) \) [24, Proposition 1.9.3] hence \( \tau \) is locally constant on each set \( \text{Lag}_\infty^2(n; k') \times \text{Lag}_\infty^2(n; k'') \). Let now \( (\ell_\infty, \ell'_\infty, \ell''_\infty) \) move continuously in such a way that \( \text{dim}(\ell \cap \ell') = k \) and \( \ell \cap \ell' = \ell'' \cap \ell = 0 \). Then \( \mu(\ell_\infty, \ell'_\infty, \ell''_\infty) \) and \( \mu(\ell_\infty, \ell''_\infty, \ell'\infty) \) remain constant in view of property (2) of \( m \) and \( \tau(\ell, \ell', \ell'') \) also remains constant. The claim follows in view of (15). (iii) Formula (17) immediately follows from (5), the definition of \( \mu \), and the fact that \( \pi = \pi^\text{Lag}_\infty(\gamma \ell_\infty) = \ell \).

Let \( \text{Sp}_\infty(2n, \mathbb{R}) \) be the universal covering group of \( \text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}) \). As a set, \( \text{Sp}_\infty(2n, \mathbb{R}) \) consists of the homotopy classes \( s_\infty \) of paths in \( \text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}) \) joining the identity \( I \) to \( s \). The projection \( \pi^\text{Sp}: \text{Sp}_\infty(2n, \mathbb{R}) \to \text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}) \) associates to \( s_\infty \) its endpoint \( s \). Let \( \text{St}_X^*(n) \) be the isotropy subgroup of \( X^* \) in \( \text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}) \). The fibration
defines an isomorphism,

\[ \mathbb{Z} \cong \pi_1[\text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})] \to \pi_1[\text{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R})] \cong \mathbb{Z}, \]

which is multiplication by 2 on \( \mathbb{Z} \). It follows (Leray [22, Theorem 3.3, p. 36]) that the action of \( \text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}) \) on \( \text{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R}) \) can be lifted to a transitive action of the universal covering \( \text{Sp}_{\infty}(2n, \mathbb{R}) \) on the Maslov bundle \( \text{Lag}_{\infty}(2n, \mathbb{R}) \) such that

\[ (\alpha s_\infty)\ell_\infty = \beta^2(s_\infty \ell_\infty) = s_\infty(\beta^2 \ell_\infty), \]

for all \((s_\infty, \ell_\infty) \in \text{Sp}_\infty(2n, \mathbb{R}) \times \text{Lag}_\infty(2n, \mathbb{R})\); \( \alpha \) (resp. \( \beta \)) is the generator of \( \pi_1[\text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})] \) (resp. \( \pi_1[\text{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R})] \)) whose image in \( \mathbb{Z} \) is \(+1\); note that the Maslov index of \( \beta \) is \( m(\beta) = 1 \).

The Leray index has the following property of symplectic invariance: for all \( s_\infty, \ell_\infty, \ell'_\infty \) we have:

\[ \mu(s_\infty \ell_\infty, s_\infty \ell'_\infty) = \mu(\ell_\infty, \ell'_\infty). \]

Set in fact, for fixed \( s_\infty, \mu'(\ell_\infty, \ell'_\infty) = \mu(s_\infty \ell_\infty, s_\infty \ell'_\infty) \). The index satisfies \( \mu' \) satisfies condition (16a) because of the symplectic invariance (12) of the signature, it also satisfies condition (16b) because \( s_\ell \cap s_{\ell'} = 0 \) is equivalent to \( \ell \cap \ell' = 0 \), hence \( \mu' = \mu \) in view of the uniqueness statement in Theorem 4.

Let us finally mention the following dimensional additivity property of the Leray index: Let \( \mu' \) and \( \mu'' \) be the indices on \( \text{Lag}_{\infty}(n') \) and \( \text{Lag}_{\infty}(n'') \). Identifying \( \text{Lag}_{\infty}(n') \oplus \text{Lag}_{\infty}(n'') \) with a submanifold of \( \text{Lag}_{\infty}(2n, \mathbb{R}) \), \( n = n' + n'' \), we have \( \mu = \mu' + \mu'' \), that is:

\[ \mu(\ell_{1,\infty} + \ell'_{1,\infty}, \ell_{2,\infty} + \ell'_{2,\infty}) = \mu'(\ell_{1,\infty}, \ell_{2,\infty}) + \mu''(\ell'_{1,\infty}, \ell'_{2,\infty}). \]

This property readily follows from the dimensional additivity property (14) of the signature \( \tau \) and definitions (15) and (8) of \( \mu \). (That Leray’s original index \( m \) is additive immediately follows from Souriau’s formula (4), identifying \( W(n', \mathbb{C}) \oplus W(n'', \mathbb{C}) \) with a submanifold of \( W(n, \mathbb{C}) \) in the obvious way.)

3. Maslov indices for Lagrangian paths

We give a general axiomatic definition of Maslov indices of Lagrangian paths (also called “Lagrangian intersection indices”).

3.1. Axiomatic definition

For \( 0 \leq k \leq n \) the set,

\[ \text{Lag}_k(2n; \mathbb{R}) = \{ \ell \in \text{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R}) : \dim(\ell \cap \ell') = k \}, \]

is the stratum of \( \text{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R}) \) of order \( k \) with respect to \( \ell \). The \( \text{Lag}_k(n; \mathbb{R}) \) are connected submanifolds of \( \text{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R}) \), of codimension \( k+(k+1)/2 \) (see for instance Trèves [37]).

Let \([a, b]\) be an arbitrary compact interval and \( \mathcal{C}(\text{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R})) \) the set of all continuous mappings \( \Lambda : [a, b] \to \text{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R}) \). We will write \( \Lambda_{ab} \) when we want to emphasize that \( \Lambda \) is defined on \([a, b]\), and set \( \Lambda(a) = \ell_a, \Lambda(b) = \ell_b \)

A “Maslov index” on \( \text{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R}) \) is a mapping

\[ \text{Mas} : \mathcal{C}(\text{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R})) \times \text{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R}) \ni (\Lambda, \ell) \mapsto \text{Mas}(\Lambda; \ell) \in \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z} \]

having the following four properties:

\[ \text{(L}_1\text{)} \quad \textbf{Homotopy invariance: If the paths } \Lambda \text{ and } \Lambda' \text{ in } \text{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R}) \text{ have same endpoints, then } \text{Mas}(\Lambda; \ell) = \text{Mas}(\Lambda'; \ell) \text{ if and only if } \Lambda \text{ and } \Lambda' \text{ are homotopic with fixed endpoints;} \]

\[ \text{(L}_2\text{)} \quad \textbf{Additivity: If } \Lambda_{ab} \text{ and } \Lambda'_{bc} \text{ are two consecutive paths, the concatenation } \Lambda''_{ac} = \Lambda_{ab} \ast \Lambda'_{bc} \text{ satisfies,} \]

\[ \text{Mas}(\Lambda''_{ac}, \ell) = \text{Mas}(\Lambda_{ab}, \ell) + \text{Mas}(\Lambda'_{bc}, \ell), \]

\[ \text{for all } \ell \in \text{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R}); \]
3.2. Existence and uniqueness up to a coboundary

Let us state and prove the main result of this section:

**Theorem 5.**

(i) For \( A_{ab} \in C(\text{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R})) \) set \( \Lambda(a) = \ell_a \) and \( \Lambda(b) = \ell_b \). Let \( \ell_{a,\infty}, \in \text{Lag}_\infty(2n, \mathbb{R}) \) be the homotopy class of an arbitrary path \( \Lambda(a) \) joining the base point \( \ell_0 \) \( \in \text{Lag}_\infty(2n, \mathbb{R}) \) to \( \ell_a \) and \( \ell_{b,\infty} \in \text{Lag}_\infty(2n, \mathbb{R}) \) be the homotopy class of the concatenation \( \Lambda(a) \ast A_{ab} \) (thus \( \pi^\text{Lag}(\ell_{a,\infty}) = \ell_a \) and \( \pi^\text{Lag}(\ell_{b,\infty}) = \ell_b \)). Let \( \ell_\infty \in \text{Lag}_\infty(2n, \mathbb{R}) \), \( \pi^\text{Lag}(\ell_\infty) = \ell \). The formula,

\[
\text{Mas}_\text{Leray}(A_{ab} ; \ell) = \frac{1}{2} (\mu(\ell_{b,\infty}, \ell_\infty) - \mu(\ell_{a,\infty}, \ell_\infty)),
\]

defines a Maslov index with respect to \( \ell \).

(ii) \( \text{Mas}_\text{Leray} \) has the following property: let \( A' \in C(\text{Lag}(2n', \mathbb{R})) \) and \( A'' \in C(\text{Lag}(2n'', \mathbb{R})) \) and identify \( A' \oplus A'' \) with an element of \( C(\text{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R})) \) with \( n = n' + n'' \). Then

\[
\text{Mas}_\text{Leray}(A' \oplus A'' ; \ell' \oplus \ell'') = \text{Mas}_\text{Leray}(A' ; \ell') + \text{Mas}_\text{Leray}(A'' ; \ell'').
\]

(iii) Let \( \text{Mas} \) be an arbitrary Maslov index on \( \text{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R}) \); there exists a mapping \( f : [0, 1, \ldots, n] \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z} \) (only depending on \( \text{Mas} \)) such that

\[
\text{Mas}(A ; \ell) = \text{Mas}_\text{Leray}(A ; \ell) + f(\text{dim}(\ell_b \cap \ell)) - f(\text{dim}(\ell_a \cap \ell)).
\]

**Proof.** (i) We first note that the left-hand side of (22) does not depend on the choice of \( \ell_{a,\infty} \) and \( \ell_\infty \): if \( \ell'_{a,\infty} \) and \( \ell'_{\infty} \) correspond to other choices of paths, then there exist integers \( \gamma \) and \( \gamma' \in \pi_1(\text{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R})) \) such that \( \gamma \ell_{a,\infty} = \gamma' \ell_{a,\infty} \) and \( \ell_\infty = \gamma' \ell_\infty \). Of course we also have \( \ell_{b,\infty} = \gamma' \ell_{b,\infty} \) hence, using property (5) of the Leray index,

\[
\mu(\ell_{b,\infty}, \ell_\infty) - \mu(\ell_{a,\infty}, \ell_\infty) = \mu(\ell_{b,\infty}, \ell'_{\infty}) - \mu(\ell'_{a,\infty}, \ell'_{\infty}).
\]

Let us now show that \( \text{Mas}_\text{Leray} \) satisfies the axioms (L1)–(L4) defining a Maslov index. If \( A_{ab} \) and \( A'_{ab} \) are homotopic with fixed end points then \( \ell'_{b,\infty} = \ell_{b,\infty} \) where \( \ell'_{b,\infty} \) is defined as \( \ell_{b,\infty} \), replacing \( A_{ab} \) by \( A'_{ab} \), hence
Proposition 6. For all the study of the Hörmander index in Section 3.3.2:

\[ \mu(\ell b, \ell) \]

Then

\[ \mu \ell b, \ell \]

such that

Suppose conversely that two paths \( A_{ab} \) and \( A'_{ab} \) have same endpoints, and that \( \text{Mas}_{\text{Leray}}(A_{ab}; \ell) = \text{Mas}_{\text{Leray}}(A'_{ab}; \ell) \).

The concatenations \( A_{(a)} \ast A_{ab} \) and \( A_{(a)} \ast A'_{ab} \) have the same endpoints and we can therefore find \( \gamma \in \pi_1[\text{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R})] \) such that \( \ell b, \ell = \gamma \ell b, \ell \) where \( \ell b, \ell \) and \( \ell' b, \ell \) are the homotopy classes of \( A_{(a)} \ast A_{ab} \) and \( A_{(a)} \ast A'_{ab} \).

In view of formula (17) in Proposition 4 we have \( \mu(\ell b, \ell, \ell) = \mu(\ell b, \ell, \ell) + 2m(\gamma) \); since \( \text{Mas}_{\text{Leray}}(A_{ab}; \ell) = \text{Mas}_{\text{Leray}}(A'_{ab}; \ell) \) we must thus have \( m(\gamma) = 0 \) hence \( \gamma \) is homotopic to a point; it follows that \( \ell b, \ell = \ell b, \ell \) so that \( A_{(a)} \ast A_{ab} \) and \( A_{(a)} \ast A'_{ab} \) are homotopic, and \( A_{ab} \) and \( A'_{ab} \) are therefore also homotopic. We have proven that (L1) holds. That property (L2) is satisfied by \( \text{Mas}_{\text{Leray}} \) is obvious. Assume now that \( A(t) \cap \ell = 0 \) for \( a \leq t \leq b \). Then \( \mu(\ell b, \ell, \ell) = \mu(\ell a, \ell, \ell) \) in view of the topological property (16b) of \( \mu \), hence property (L3). That (L4) is satisfied by \( \text{Mas}_{\text{Leray}} \) immediately follows from formula (17). (ii) Formula (23) immediately follows from formula (22) using the additivity property (21) of the Leray index \( \mu \). (iii) In view of (L1) and (L4) the difference \( \text{Mas}(A; \ell) - \text{Mas}_{\text{Leray}}(A; \ell) \) only depends on the triple \( (\ell, \ell a, \ell b) \). Let us denote this difference by \( \delta \ell(\ell a, \ell b) \). We claim that \( \delta \ell \) is an antisymmetric cocycle: \( \delta \ell(\ell a, \ell b) = -\delta \ell(\ell b, \ell a) \) and \( \partial \delta \ell = 0 \). The antisymmetry is clear by (L3). To prove that \( \partial \delta \ell = 0 \), let \( A_{ab}, A_{bc}, \) and \( A_{ca} \) be three paths joining \( \ell a \) to \( \ell b, \ell b \) to \( \ell c, \ell c \) to \( \ell a, \) respectively. In view of (L1) and (L4) we have:

\[ \text{Mas}(A_{ab}; \ell) - \text{Mas}(A_{ac}; \ell) + \text{Mas}(A_{bc}; \ell) = m(\gamma), \]

\[ \text{Mas}_{\text{Leray}}(A_{ab}; \ell) - \text{Mas}_{\text{Leray}}(A_{ac}; \ell) + \text{Mas}_{\text{Leray}}(A_{bc}; \ell) = m(\gamma), \]

where \( \gamma \) is the loop \( A_{ab} \ast A_{bc} \ast A_{ca} \) and \( m(\gamma) \) its Maslov index. This proves that \( \partial \delta \ell = 0 \). It follows that

\[ \text{Mas}(A; \ell) - \text{Mas}_{\text{Leray}}(A; \ell) = \delta \ell(\ell a, \ell) - \delta \ell(\ell b, \ell). \]

In view of axiom (L3) the function \( \ell a \mapsto \delta \ell(\ell a, \ell) \) is locally constant on each stratum; formula (24) follows.

The following result describes the effect of a change of Lagrangian plane \( \ell \) in the Maslov index; it will be useful for the study of the Hörmander index in Section 3.3.2:

Proposition 6. For all \( \ell, \ell' \) in \( \text{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R}) \) we have:

\[ \text{Mas}(A_{ab}; \ell) - \text{Mas}(A_{ab}; \ell') = \tau(\ell b, \ell, \ell') - \tau(\ell a, \ell, \ell'). \]  

(25)

Proof. In view of formulas (22) and (24) in Theorem 5 we have:

\[ \text{Mas}(A_{ab}; \ell) - \text{Mas}(A_{ab}; \ell') \mu(\ell b, \ell, \ell') - \mu(\ell b, \ell, \ell') - \mu(\ell a, \ell, \ell') - \mu(\ell a, \ell, \ell') \]

in view of property (16a) of \( \mu \) we have:

\[ \mu(\ell b, \ell, \ell') - \mu(\ell b, \ell, \ell') = -\mu(\ell b, \ell, \ell', \ell') + \tau(\ell b, \ell, \ell'), \]

\[ \mu(\ell a, \ell, \ell') - \mu(\ell a, \ell, \ell') = -\mu(\ell a, \ell, \ell', \ell') + \tau(\ell a, \ell, \ell'), \]

hence (25).

Corollary 7. Let \( A_{ab}, A_{bc}, \) and \( A_{ca} \) be paths in \( \text{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R}) \) joining \( \ell a \) to \( \ell b, \ell b \) to \( \ell c, \) and \( \ell c \) to \( \ell a, \) respectively. The following “triangle equality”:

\[ \text{Mas}(A_{ab}; \ell c) + \text{Mas}(A_{bc}; \ell a) + \text{Mas}(A_{ca}; \ell b) = \tau(\ell a, \ell b, \ell c), \]  

(26)

holds for every Maslov index \( \text{Mas} \) on \( \text{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R}). \)

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of (24) and property (9) of \( \mu \).

Remark 8. Formula (26) can be used to define a signature in infinitely dimensional symplectic spaces, as soon as a Maslov index (with adequate properties) is known.
3.3. The Robbin–Salamon and Hörmander indices

We apply the results of last subsection to discuss two famous indices appearing in the mathematical literature.

3.3.1. The Robbin–Salamon index

In [34] Robbin and Salamon have constructed, using differentiability properties of Lagrangian paths, a mapping $\text{Mas}_{RS} : C(\text{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R})) \times \ell \to \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z}$ which they call “Maslov index”. In addition to (L1)–(L4) that index satisfies the following property:

\[(\text{L7}) \text{ Spectral flow formula: Let the path } A_M : [a, b] \to \text{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R}) \text{ be defined by } A_M(t) = \{(x, M(t)x) : x \in X\} \text{ where } M(t) \text{ is a symmetric linear automorphism of } Z \text{ depending continuously on } t \in [a, b]. \text{ Then}
\]

\[\text{Mas}_{RS}(A_M, X) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \text{sign } M(b) - \text{sign } M(a) \right). \tag{27}\]

This condition identifies $\text{Mas}_{RS}$ with $\text{Mas}_{Leray}$.

**Proposition 9.** $\text{Mas}_{Leray}$ is the only Maslov index on $\text{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R})$ satisfying (L7); hence $\text{Mas}_{RS} = \text{Mas}_{Leray}$.

**Proof.** (See de Gosson [14] for an alternative proof.) In view of formula (24) in Theorem 5 there exists $f$ such that

\[\text{Mas}_{RS}(A_M; \ell) = \text{Mas}_{Leray}(A_M; \ell) + f(\dim(\ell_b \cap \ell)) - f(\dim(\ell_a \cap \ell)).\]

Set $A_M(a) = \ell_a, A_M(b) = \ell_b$. Since $A_M(t) \cap X^* = 0$ for $a \leq t \leq b$ we have $\mu(\ell_{b,\infty}, X^*_\infty) = \mu(\ell_{a,\infty}, X^*_\infty)$ in view of property (16b) of $\mu$, and hence $\text{Mas}(A_M; X^*) = 0$ in view of (L6) for every Maslov index $\text{Mas}$. Choosing in particular $\text{Mas} = \text{Mas}_{Leray}$ we have, in view of property (16a) of $\mu$,

\[
\mu(\ell_{a,\infty}, X_\infty) = \mu(\ell_{a,\infty}, X^*_\infty) - \mu(X_\infty, X^*_\infty) + \tau(\ell_{a}, X, X^*),
\]

\[
\mu(\ell_{b,\infty}, X_\infty) = \mu(\ell_{b,\infty}, X^*_\infty) - \mu(X_\infty, X^*_\infty) + \tau(\ell_{b}, X, X^*),
\]

hence, by subtraction,

\[\text{Mas}_{Leray}(A_M, X) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \tau(\ell_b, X, X^*) - \tau(\ell_a, X, X^*) \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \text{sign } M(b) - \text{sign } M(a) \right),\]

where the second equality follows from the antisymmetry of $\tau$ and formula (13); $\text{Mas}_{Leray}$ thus satisfies (L7), as claimed. Assume that $\text{Mas}$ is another Maslov index satisfying (L7). Then $\Delta = \text{Mas} - \text{Mas}_{Leray}$ satisfies

\[\Delta(A_M, X) = f(\dim(\ell_b \cap X)) - f(\dim(\ell_a \cap X)) = 0, \tag{28}\]

for some function $f : \{0, 1, \ldots, n\} \to \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z}$ only depending on $\text{Mas}$. Since $\dim(A_M(t) \cap X) = n - \text{rank } M(t)$ can take any prescribed value in $\{0, 1, \ldots, n\}$ by choosing adequately $M(t)$ it follows that $\dim(\ell_a \cap X)$ and $\dim(\ell_b \cap X)$ can take arbitrary values in $\{0, 1, \ldots, n\}$ hence we must have $f = 0$. \qed

3.3.2. The Hörmander index

In his study of pseudo-differential operators, Hörmander introduces in [21] a mapping:

\[\text{Hor} : \text{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R})^4 \ni (\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3, \ell_4) \to \text{Hor}(\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3, \ell_4) \in \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z}\]

(this index is also discussed in Duistermaat [8]). Robbin and Salamon [34] show that the Hörmander index is related to their index $\text{Mas}_{RS}$ by the formula:

\[\text{Hor}(\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3, \ell_4) = \text{Mas}_{RS}(A_{34}, \ell_2) - \text{Mas}_{RS}(A_{34}, \ell_1), \tag{29}\]

where $A_{34}$ is an arbitrary path in $\text{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R})$ joining $\ell_3$ to $\ell_4$. In particular $\text{Hor}$ is a symplectic invariant:

\[\text{Hor}(s\ell_1, s\ell_2, s\ell_3, s\ell_4) = \text{Hor}(\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3, \ell_4),\]

for every $s \in \text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$. 


Proposition 10. The Hörmander index $\text{Hor}$ is given by:

$$\text{Hor}(\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3, \ell_4) = \frac{1}{2}(\tau(\ell_1, \ell_2) - \tau(\ell_1, \ell_4)),$$

(30)

in particular it does not depend on the choice of the path $\Lambda_{34}$.

Proof. In view of formula (25) we can rewrite (29) as

$$\text{Hor}(\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3, \ell_4) = \frac{1}{2}(\tau(\ell_4, \ell_2) - \tau(\ell_3, \ell_2)),$$

which is (30) in view of the antisymmetry of the signature $\tau$. $\Box$

Remark 11. Formula (30) generalizes formula (3) of Theorem 3.5 in Robbin and Salamon [34] to the nontransversal case: it makes sense for all $\ell_j$, $j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$.

4. Symplectic paths

The intersection theory for symplectic paths is very similar to that developed above for Lagrangian paths.

4.1. The Leray indices $\mu_\ell$ on $\text{Sp}_\infty(2n, \mathbb{R})$

We denote by $C_I(\text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}))$ the set of all continuous paths $[0, 1] \rightarrow \text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$ starting from the identity $I$ in $\text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$. We will write $\Sigma \sim \Sigma'$ when $\Sigma, \Sigma' \in C_I(\text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}))$ are homotopic with fixed endpoint. Denoting by $\pi^\text{Sp} : \text{Sp}_\infty(2n, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$ the universal covering of $\text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$ we have the identification $\text{Sp}_\infty(2n, \mathbb{R}) = C_I(\text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}))/\sim$. If $s = \pi^\text{Sp}(s_\infty), s_\infty \in \text{Sp}_\infty(2n, \mathbb{R})$, we will say that $s_\infty$ covers $s$.

For $(\Sigma, \ell) \in C_I(\text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})) \times \text{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R})$ we define:

$$\mu_\ell(\Sigma, \ell) = \mu(\Sigma \Lambda, \Lambda),$$

(31)

where $\Lambda$ is an arbitrary element of $C_I(\text{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R}))$ joining the base point $\ell_0$ to $\ell$. Equivalently, $\mu_\ell$ can be viewed as the mapping $\text{Sp}_\infty(2n, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ defined, for $(s, \ell) \in \text{Sp}_\infty(2n, \mathbb{R}) \times \text{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R})$, by:

$$\mu_\ell(s, \ell) = \mu(s_\infty, \ell_\infty),$$

(32)

where $s_\infty$ covers $s$. The notation $\mu_\ell$ is motivated by following observations: assume that $\ell_\infty' \in (\pi^\text{Lag})^{-1}(\ell)$, then there exists $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\ell_\infty' = \beta^k \ell_\infty$ and hence, taking (19) and formula (17) in Proposition 4(iii) into account, $\mu(s_\infty, \ell_\infty', \ell_\infty) = \mu(s_\infty, \ell_\infty, \ell_\infty)$. We will call $\mu_\ell$ the Leray index on $\text{Sp}_\infty(2n, \mathbb{R})$ relatively to $\ell$. Setting $\tau_\ell(s, s') = \tau(\ell, s \ell', s' s \ell)$ the index $\mu_\ell$ is the only mapping $\text{Sp}_\infty(2n, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ satisfying the two following properties:

$$\mu_\ell(s_\infty s'_\infty) = \mu_\ell(s_\infty) + \mu_\ell(s'_\infty) + \tau_\ell(s, s'),$$

(33a)

$$\mu_\ell$$

is locally constant on $\{s_\infty : s \ell \cap \ell = 0\}$

(33b)

(these properties immediately follow from the properties (16a), (16b) of $\mu$; for the uniqueness see de Gosson [13]).

Assume that $s$ and $s'$ are such that

$$s X^* \cap X^* = s' X^* \cap X^* = 0,$$

(34)

and identify $s$ and $s'$ with their matrices,

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} A'' & B'' \\ C'' & D'' \end{pmatrix},$$

in the canonical symplectic basis of $(X \oplus X^*, \sigma)$ this condition is equivalent to $\det B \neq 0$ and $\det B' \neq 0$. We have shown in [15] (also see de Gosson [17, p. 216]) that

$$\tau_X(s, s') = \text{sign}(B^{-1} A + D'(B')^{-1});$$
note that $B^{-1}A$ and $D'(B')^{-1}$ are symmetric because $s$ and $s'$ are symmetric. Performing explicitly the matrix multiplication $ss'$ one sees that $B^{-1}A + D'(B')^{-1} = B^{-1}B''(B')^{-1}$ hence the formula above can be written:

$$
\tau_X(s, s') = \text{sign}(B^{-1}B''(B')^{-1}).
$$

(35)

**Remark 12.** In [34] Robbin and Salamon introduce a quadratic form they denote by $Q(s, s')$, and call it “composition form”. In [15] we proved, using formula (13) that if condition (34) holds then $Q(s, s') = \tau_X(s, s')$; notice that $\tau_X(s, s')$ is however defined for arbitrary $s, s'$ in $\text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$, while $Q$ is not.

### 4.2. Symplectic Maslov indices

The Maslov index $m_{\text{Sp}}(\Sigma)$ of a continuous loop $\Sigma$ in $\text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$ is defined as follows: set $\Sigma(t) = s_t$; then $s_t = (s_t^T s_t)^{-1/2}s_t$ is the orthogonal part in the polar decomposition of $s_t$; $u_t \in \text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}) \cap \text{O}(2n, \mathbb{R})$. Let us denote by $U_t$ its image $\iota(u_t) \in U(n, \mathbb{C})$ by the morphism $\iota: \text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}) \cap \text{O}(2n, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow U(n, \mathbb{C})$ defined by:

$$
U_t = \iota(u_t) = A + iB \quad \text{if } u_t = \begin{pmatrix} A & -B \\ B & A \end{pmatrix}.
$$

Setting $\rho(s_t) = \det U_t$ the Maslov index of $\gamma$ is, by definition, the degree of the loop $t \mapsto \rho(s_t)$ in the circle $S^1$:

$$
m_{\text{Sp}}(\Sigma) = \deg[t \mapsto \det(\iota(u_t))], \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1.
$$

(36)

For $\ell \in \text{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R})$ and $0 \leq k \leq n$ we set:

$$
\text{Sp}_{\ell}(n; k) = \{ s \in \text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}): \dim(s \ell \cap \ell) = k \}
$$

$(\text{Sp}_{\Sigma})(2n; k)$ is the preimage of $\text{Lag}_{\Sigma}(2n; k)$ under the fibration $\text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}) \cap \text{O}(2n, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow U(n, \mathbb{C})$ defined by:

$$
\text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}) \cap \text{O}(2n, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow U(n, \mathbb{C})
$$

Setting $\rho(s_t) = \det U_t$ the Maslov index of $\gamma$ is, by definition, the degree of the loop $t \mapsto \rho(s_t)$ in the circle $S^1$:

$$
m_{\text{Sp}}(\Sigma) = \deg[t \mapsto \det(\iota(u_t))], \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1.
$$

(36)

For $\ell \in \text{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R})$ and $0 \leq k \leq n$ we set:

$$
\text{Sp}_{\ell}(n; k) = \{ s \in \text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}): \dim(s \ell \cap \ell) = k \}
$$

$(\text{Sp}_{\Sigma})(2n; k)$ is the preimage of $\text{Lag}_{\Sigma}(2n; k)$ under the fibration $\text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}) \cap \text{O}(2n, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow U(n, \mathbb{C})$ defined by:

$$
\text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}) \cap \text{O}(2n, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow U(n, \mathbb{C})
$$

Let us denote by $C(\text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}))$ the set of all continuous mappings $\Sigma: [a, b] \rightarrow \text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$. By definition, the symplectic Maslov index on $\text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$ associated to a Maslov index $\text{Mas}$ is the mapping,

$$
\text{Symp}: C(\text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})) \times \text{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R}) \mapsto \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z},
$$

defined by:

$$
\text{Symp}(\Sigma; \ell) = \text{Mas}(\Sigma \ell; \ell),
$$

where $\Sigma \ell$ is the path in $\text{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R})$ defined by $\Sigma \ell(t) = \Sigma(t) \ell$.

The properties of the index $\text{Symp}$ immediately follow from the properties (L1)–(L6) of $\text{Mas}$:

- **Homotopy invariance**: If the paths $\Sigma$ and $\Sigma'$ have the same endpoints, then $\text{Symp}_L(\Sigma; \ell) = \text{Symp}_L(\Sigma'; \ell)$ if and only if $\Sigma$ and $\Sigma'$ are homotopic with fixed endpoints;

- **Additivity**: If $\Sigma$ and $\Sigma'$ are two consecutive paths, then for all $\ell \in \text{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R})$:

$$
\text{Symp}(\Sigma \ast \Sigma', \ell) = \text{Symp}(\Sigma, \ell) + \text{Symp}(\Sigma', \ell);
$$

- **Zero in strata**: If $\Sigma(t) \in \text{Sp}_{\ell}(n; k)$ for all $t$, then $\text{Symp}_L(\Sigma, \ell) = 0$;

- **Restriction to loops**: If $\Sigma \in C(\text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}))$ is a loop, then $\text{Symp}(\Sigma; \ell)$ is the Maslov index for every $\ell$: $\text{Symp}(\Sigma; \ell) = m_{\text{Sp}}(\Sigma)$;

- **Antisymmetry**: $\text{Symp}(\Sigma^o, \ell) = -\text{Symp}(\Sigma, \ell)$ where $\Sigma^o(t) = (a + b - t)$ if $\Sigma$ is defined on $[a, b]$;

- **Stratum homotopy**: If there exits a continuous mapping $h: [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$ such that $h(t, 0) = \Sigma(t); h(t, 1) = \Sigma'(t)$ for $0 \leq t \leq 1$ and two integers $k_0, k_1$ ($0 \leq k_0, k_1 \leq n$) such that $h(0, s) \in \text{Sp}_{\ell}(2n; k_0)$ and $h(1, s) \in \text{Sp}_{\ell}(2n; k_1)$ for $0 \leq s \leq 1$, then $\text{Symp}(\Sigma; \ell) = \text{Symp}(\Sigma'; \ell)$.

Suppose that $\text{Mas}$ is the Maslov index $\text{Mas}_{\text{Leray}}$ defined by formula (22) in Theorem 5; let us denote the corresponding symplectic Maslov index by $\text{Symp}_{\text{Leray}}$. We have:
that is

\[ \text{Symp}_{\text{Leray}}(\Sigma; \ell) = \frac{1}{2} (\mu_\ell(s_{b, \infty}) - \mu_\ell(s_{a, \infty})) , \]  

(37)

where \( s_{a, \infty} \) and \( s_{b, \infty} \) are defined as follows (cf. Theorem 5(i)): let \( s_a = \Sigma(a) \), \( s_b = \Sigma(b) \). Then \( s_{a, \infty} \) is the homotopy class of an arbitrary path \( \Sigma_{0a} \) in \( \text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}) \) joining the base point of \( \text{Sp}_\infty(2n, \mathbb{R}) \) to \( s_a \), and \( s_{b, \infty} \) is that of the concatenation \( \Sigma_{0a} * \Sigma_b \).

The properties (S1)–(S6) listed above do not characterize uniquely \( \text{Symp} \). However:

**Proposition 13.** Define \( \Sigma_{ab} \in \mathcal{C}(\text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})) \) by \( \Sigma_{ab}(t)(x, p) = (x, M(t)x) \) where \( M(t) \) is a symmetric endomorphism of \( \mathbb{R}^n \). Then

\[ \text{Symp}_{\text{Leray}}(\Sigma; X) = \frac{1}{2} (\text{sign } M(a) - \text{sign } M(b)) , \]  

(38)

and \( \text{Symp}_{\text{Leray}} \) is the only symplectic Maslov index having this property.

**Proof.** Formula (38) is just a restatement of property (27) of the index \( \text{Mas}_{\text{Leray}} = \text{Mas}_{\text{RS}} \). \( \square \)

### 4.3. The Conley–Zehnder index

The Conley–Zehnder index is an index of symplectic paths generalizing the usual Morse index for closed geodesics on Riemannian manifolds. It arises from trivializing a symplectic vector bundle over a periodic orbit of a Hamiltonian vector field on a symplectic manifold (or the Reeb vector field on a contact manifold). The Conley–Zehnder was originally designed to compute the spectral flow of the Cauchy–Riemann-type operators arising in Floer homology (Salamon and Zehnder [35]). It plays a crucial role in the study of periodic orbits in Hamiltonian systems (Long [25], Long and Zhu [26]) and in their applications to semiclassical mechanics via “Gutzwiller’s formula” and its variants as was recognized by Meinrenken [27–29].

#### 4.3.1. Definition and axiomatic characterization

The subsets of \( \text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}) \) defined by:

\[ \text{Sp}^+(2n, \mathbb{R}) = \{ s \in \text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}) : \det(s - I) > 0 \} , \]
\[ \text{Sp}^-(2n, \mathbb{R}) = \{ s \in \text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}) : \det(s - I) < 0 \} , \]
\[ \text{Sp}^0(2n, \mathbb{R}) = \{ s \in \text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}) : \det(s - I) = 0 \} , \]

partition \( \text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}) \); for instance, the symplectic matrices \( s^+ = -I \) and \( s^- = \left( \begin{array}{cc} L & 0 \\ 0 & L^{-1} \end{array} \right) \) with \( L = \text{diag}(2, -1, \ldots, -1) \) belong to \( \text{Sp}^+(2n, \mathbb{R}) \) and \( \text{Sp}^-(2n, \mathbb{R}) \), respectively. We will write:

\[ \text{Sp}^+(2n, \mathbb{R}) = \text{Sp}^+(2n, \mathbb{R}) \cup \text{Sp}^-(2n, \mathbb{R}) . \]

Here are two important properties of \( \text{Sp}^\pm(2n, \mathbb{R}) \) (see e.g. [6,20]):

**Sp1** \( \text{Sp}^+(2n, \mathbb{R}) \) and \( \text{Sp}^-(2n, \mathbb{R}) \) are arcwise connected;

**Sp2** Every loop in \( \text{Sp}^+(2n, \mathbb{R}) \) or \( \text{Sp}^-(2n, \mathbb{R}) \) is contractible to a point in \( \text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}) \).

Let us denote by \( C^\pm(2n, \mathbb{R}) \) the space of all paths \( \Sigma : [0, 1] \to \text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}) \) with \( \Sigma(0) = I \) and \( \det(\Sigma(1) - I) \neq 0 \), that is \( \Sigma(1) \in \text{Sp}^\pm(2n, \mathbb{R}) \). Any such path can be extended into a path \( \tilde{\Sigma} : [0, 2] \to \text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}) \) such that \( \tilde{\Sigma}(t) \in \text{Sp}^\pm(2n, \mathbb{R}) \) for \( 1 \leq t \leq 2 \) and \( \tilde{\Sigma}(2) = s^+ \) or \( \tilde{\Sigma}(2) = s^- \). Let \( \rho \) be the mapping \( \text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}) \to S^1 \), \( \rho(s_I) = \det u_I \), used in the definition (36) of the Maslov index for symplectic loops. The \textit{Conley–Zehnder index} of the path \( \Sigma \) is, by definition, the winding number of the loop \( (\rho \circ \tilde{\Sigma})^2 \) in \( S^1 \):

\[ i_{\text{CZ}}(\Sigma) = \deg[t \mapsto (\rho(\tilde{\Sigma}(t)))^2 , \ 0 \leq t \leq 2] . \]

It turns out that \( i_{\text{CZ}}(\Sigma) \) is invariant under homotopy as long as the endpoint \( s = \Sigma(1) \) remains in \( \text{Sp}^\pm(n) \); in particular it does not change under homotopies with fixed endpoints so we may view \( i_{\text{CZ}} \) as defined on the subset
of the universal covering group $Sp_\infty(2n, \mathbb{R})$.

The Conley–Zehnder index is the unique mapping $i_{CZ}$ associating to every path $\Sigma : [0, b] \rightarrow Sp(2n, \mathbb{R})$ such that $\Sigma(0) = I$ and $\Sigma(b) \in Sp^*(2n, \mathbb{R})$ an integer $i_{CZ}(\Sigma)$, and having the three following properties:

**(CZ1) Antisymmetry:** We have $i_{CZ}(\Sigma^{-1}) = -i_{CZ}(\Sigma)$ (where $\Sigma^{-1}(t) = (\Sigma(t))^{-1}$ for $t \in [0, b]$);

**(CZ2) Homotopy invariance:** $i_{CZ}(\Sigma)$ does not change when $\Sigma$ is continuously deformed in such a way that its endpoint stays in $Sp^+(2n, \mathbb{R})$ (or $Sp^-(2n, \mathbb{R})$);

**(CZ3) Action of $\pi_1(\text{Sp}(n))$:** We have $i_{CZ}(\alpha \ast \Sigma) = i_{CZ}(\Sigma) + 2$.

Here is a proof of the uniqueness of an index satisfying (CZ1)–(CZ3) (existence will be established below). Let $\delta_{CZ}$ be the difference between two such indices. In view of (CZ3) we have $\delta_{CZ}(\alpha \ast \Sigma) = \delta_{CZ}(\Sigma)$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, hence $\delta_{CZ}(\Sigma)$ only depends on the endpoint $s$ of $\Sigma$; $\delta_{CZ}$ is thus a function $\delta_{CZ} : Sp^*(n) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$. Property (CZ2) then implies that $\delta_{CZ}$ is constant on both $Sp^+(2n, \mathbb{R})$ and $Sp^-(2n, \mathbb{R})$. Since $\det(s^{-1} - I) = \det(s - I)$ the automorphisms $s$ and $s^{-1}$ always belong to the same set $Sp^*(n)$ or $Sp^-(n)$ if $\det(s - I) \neq 0$, property (CZ1) implies that $f$ must be zero on $Sp^*(2n, \mathbb{R})$.

Before we show the existence of the Conley–Zehnder index, let us remark that the homotopy invariance property (CZ2) implies, in particular, that $i_{CZ}(\Sigma) = i_{CZ}(\Sigma')$ if the symplectic paths $\Sigma$ and $\Sigma'$ are homotopic with fixed endpoints. The integer $i_{CZ}(\Sigma)$ thus only depends on the homotopy class $s_\infty \in Sp_\infty(2n, \mathbb{R})$ of $\Sigma$. We can thus view the Conley–Zehnder index as a mapping $i_{CZ} : Sp_\infty(2n, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ where $Sp_\infty(2n, \mathbb{R}) = \pi_1(2n, \mathbb{R}))$. We will therefore write indifferently $i_{CZ}(\Sigma)$ or $i_{CZ}(s_\infty)$.

### 4.3.2. Definition using Leray’s index

Let us equip the vector space $Z \oplus Z$ with the symplectic form $\omega^\oplus = \omega \oplus (-\omega)$. We denote by $Sp^\oplus(4n, \mathbb{R})$ and $\text{Lag}^\oplus(4n, \mathbb{R})$ the corresponding symplectic group and Lagrangian Grassmannian, and by $\mu^\oplus$ (resp. $\text{Mas}_{\text{Leray}}^\oplus$) the Leray (resp. Maslov) index on $\text{Lag}^\oplus(4n, \mathbb{R})$; the corresponding Leray index on $Sp^\oplus(4n, \mathbb{R})$ relative to $\Delta \in \text{Lag}^\oplus(4n, \mathbb{R})$ (cf. the notation (32)) is $\mu_\Delta^\oplus$.

**Proposition 14.** The Conley–Zehnder index is given by the formula

$$i_{CZ}(\Sigma) = \text{Mas}_{\text{Leray}}^\oplus(\Sigma^\oplus \Delta; \Delta),$$

where $\Sigma^\oplus = I \oplus \Sigma$ and $\Delta = \{(z, z) : z \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}\}$; equivalently,

$$i_{CZ}(\Sigma) = \frac{1}{2} \mu^\oplus((I \oplus s_1)_{1, \infty} \Delta_{\infty} \Delta_{\infty}),$$

where $(I \oplus s_\infty)^{1, \infty}$ is the homotopy class in $Sp^\oplus(4n, \mathbb{R})$ of the path $I \oplus \Sigma \in C(\text{Sp}^\oplus(4n, \mathbb{R}))$, that is,

$$i_{CZ}(\Sigma) = \frac{1}{2} \mu_\Delta^\oplus((I \oplus s_1)^{1, \infty}).$$

**Proof.** The equivalence between the definitions (39)–(41) is obvious. Let us prove that formula (39) indeed defines a Conley–Zehnder index. That (CZ1) is satisfied follows at once from the equality $(s_\infty^{\oplus})^{-1} = (I \oplus s^{-1})_{\infty}$ and the antisymmetry of $\mu_\Delta^\oplus$. To check property (CZ2) it suffices to observe that to the generator $\alpha$ of $\pi_1(\text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}))$ corresponds the generator $I_{\infty} \oplus \alpha$ of $\pi_1(\text{Sp}^\oplus(4n, \mathbb{R}))$ ($I_{\infty}$ the constant path through $I \in \text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$), and then to apply formula (17) in Theorem 4. Let us finally prove that (CZ3) holds as well. Assume that $s$ and $s'$ belong to, say, $Sp^+(n)$. Let $\Sigma$ be a path joining $I$ to $s$ in $Sp^+(n, \mathbb{R})$, and $\Sigma'$ a path joining $s$ to $s'$ in $Sp^+(2n, \mathbb{R})$. Let $\Sigma''$ be the restriction of $\Sigma'$ to an interval $[0, t']$, $t' \leq t$ and consider the concatenation $\Sigma \ast \Sigma''$. We have $\det(\Sigma(t) - I) > 0$ for all $t \in [0, t']$ hence $\Sigma(t) \Delta \cap \Delta \neq 0$ as $t$ varies from 0 to 1. It follows from the fact that $\mu_\Delta^\oplus$ is locally constant on $\{s_\infty^{\oplus} : s_\infty^{\oplus} \Delta = 0\}$ that the function $t \mapsto \mu_\Delta^\oplus(s_\infty^{\oplus}(t))$ is constant, and hence

$$\mu_\Delta^\oplus(s_\infty^{\oplus}) = \mu_\Delta^\oplus(s_\infty^{\oplus}(0)) = \mu_\Delta^\oplus(s_\infty^{\oplus}(1)) = \mu_\Delta^\oplus(s_\infty^{\oplus}),$$

which was to be proven. \qed
Theorem 16. Assume that the endpoint \( s \in C_I(\text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})) \) is such that \( \det B \neq 0 \). Then
\[
\text{ic}_{\text{CZ}}(\Sigma) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \mu_X(\Sigma) + \text{sign} \; W_{xx} \right),
\]
where \( W_{xx} \) is the Hessian matrix of the quadratic form \( x \mapsto W(x, x) \), that is,
\[
W_{xx} = DB^{-1} - B^{-1} - (B^T)^{-1} + B^{-1} A.
\]

The proof of formula (45) is rather lengthy, and makes repeated use of the properties of the signature cocycle \( \tau \) so we do not duplicate it here.

Remark 17. The index of inertia \( \text{Inert} \) \( W_{xx} \) of the quadratic form \( x \mapsto W(x, x) \) is called index of concavity; it appears in Morse theory \([30]\). It is also considered in Nostre Marques et al. \([31]\), in the proof of Lemma 2.9.
5. Concluding remarks

In addition to their simplicity, the constructions of the various intersection indices I have exposed have a conceptual appeal, in the sense that they do not make use of any supplementary hypothesis on the paths that are considered. In particular, there is no need to use any property of differentiability: the approach using Leray’s index \( \mu \) is purely combinatorial and topological. It is precisely the combinatorial property (16a) which makes it easy to use in all forms of practical calculations.

We notice that Py gives in [33] an interesting account of Wall’s contributions; also see the seminal paper [1] by Barge and Ghys where related notions such as Euler’s cocycle are studied in detail. Piccione and his collaborators have studied in [31,32] notions of Maslov indices on \( \text{Lag}(2n, \mathbb{R}) \) and \( \text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}) \) using methods different from ours (also see our joint work [19] where a similar approach is used). Clerc [4], Clerc and Ørsted [3], Clerc and Koufany [5] have extended the Leray index (and the associated Wall–Kashiwara signature) to the Shilov boundary of Hermitian symmetric spaces of tube type. These constructions are highly non-trivial, and deserve to be studied further. For instance, is there an analogue of a Conley–Zehnder index in their context? We finally note that our notion of symplectic Cayley transform has been generalized in Giambò and Girolimetti [10] who elaborate on our joint work with Piccione [19].

Professor Chaofeng Zhu (Nankai) has suggested (private communication) that the methods used in this paper can be extended to the case of infinitely dimensional symplectic Hilbert spaces. We will come back to this possibility in future work; for progresses in the infinite-dimensional case see the paper [9] by Furutani.
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