

ELECTION 2008: THE GREAT DEBATES

American Self Defense, Civil Defense, Military and Law Enforcement On Trial

=====The Debate on Experience/Integrity regarding National Security=====

Story today: McCain slights Obama's inexperience on issues his own experience proved ineffective and too riddled with inner disloyalties to prevent 9-11, even after the counter terrorism measures enacted in the 1993-94 Transportation Safety Act after the 93 bombing of the Twin Towers, accomplished by a truck-bomb, van, driven inside one of them. Security at parking and freight designations should have gone and remained 'ballistic'—what happened? The majority of appalling facts they were pyrotechnically demolished implicates unprecedented pyro material logistics through those buildings accesses the 93 bombing had penetrated.

The claim is that law enforcement is insufficient, a preemption in violation of the laws and countermeasures is what's really needed. A side in a debate cannot artificially bring about or make come to pass by their own actions a counter example outside the scope of particulars at issue; or it is rigging the debate. The military is being auctioned off to nefarious private concerns just as all our ports were speculated on by Dubai. Flouting our communities' first line of defense is already an act of sedition, subverting our armed forces deliberate acts of war.

Technically, the TSA was interfered with, while in operation, officers of the FBI in the line of duty were ordered to step away from the nation's workstations and our national security in order to open up the window of opportunity on 9-11 (inside informant/victim's interview CBS 60 MINUTES). McCain is not allowed to count that as an example in his argument's favor. We're well beyond the 'Pearl Harbor' b.s.'ing now. There is no disputing the clear and unambiguous sabotage and the failure to protect that went instigated under the direct controls of the Bush Administration on 9-11, under actus rheus it bears no resemblance to Pearl Harbor unless the hardware to respond to that historic attack had been sabotaged or its operators ordered to do nothing--which is not the historical precedent.

The INS retrieved one of the hijacker trainee terrorists in the Summer of 2001, who had gone AWOL and out of the country from his terrorism assignment. This is another inside treachery that cannot work or be counted on the side of the duplicitous treason arguments of McCain's rogue junta front, i.e. "political party". It is in the official 9-11 Commission Report.

In the documentary "loose change" we learn that the corporation in charge of the Twin Tower's security removed bomb sniffing dog patrols which had also beefed up the terrorist countermeasures, and under the auspices of one of their board members Marvin Bush, the brother of George W. Bush. This is the third and most indicting fact discovered which destroys any republican bragging points or rhetoric concerning our national security.

McCain and his band of outlaws will say anything and deny anything and must be purged in order to rout their double dealing, self serving inside sabotage weakening national security and the safety of lives, property and future of Americans.

American Self Reliance and Self Organization On Trial

In all reports the social security system will be defunct twenty years from now, and in discussions of what must done in order to replace it, there is never any mention of the paycheck withholdings from which its funding is based. This is a twofold deception that allows critics of social security to falsely charge the public paying into it and thus deriving its benefits hasn't done anything to plan or support their retirement, (saying they can't *lean on the government* any more when they've been paying for it all along, which isn't leaning at all--what are they talking about? It is legislated and enacted by free democratic American self organization); and it risks being exposed for intending to keep paycheck withholdings should social security be shut down, essentially stealing the former trust fund's regular funding without providing anything for it.

The issue is what institution should protect a national workers insurance plan, if **everyone** has to pay into it, how can it end up as raped over as Fanny Mae and Freddy Mac? Would a privatized social security turn into a Regan Era HUD scandal or an ENRON...or...a Bear and Stern? Multiple examples of the private sector's inability to keep its hands out of people's pockets clearly refute any criticism that social security should be torn down. It needs the oversight and regulation of what only a government agency is exposed to, if that weren't obvious enough.

If anything, the social security system needs to be deloused and re-secured from its many opportunists who do not represent its original purpose. Should corporations and financiers only see a viable fortune in stealing from someone else, they can do that as they always have done with their sucker investors; but they can't steal from publically contributed funds the government manages for their long term benefit. If they steal from the government it has to take another form. And so far as that goes, what else haven't they completely ripped off?

They're really saying that social security will be notched 22% on the dollar after 2041. But maybe significantly better government will be able to intervene before then. Everybody's been looking at what has to "collapse" in the news on a daily basis, the houses of investment fraud that keep crashing down--the point couldn't be more overwhelmingly in favor of strengthening social security from the likes of those rapacious, overcompensated parasites.

One fact remains: the government is being called upon to support the malfeasance of these private investment follies and mortgage giants. They are in effect, stealing back corporate taxes and demonstrating zero mutual fidelity. If they can't be regulated from robbing what they already take in bailouts, why are they coming for everybody's paycheck withholdings too? When will it end? How much is too much private graft?

You can disregard any republican trying to apologize for the ongoing crimes of the century, they are not spokespersons for a political organization they are corporate representatives for a total war of RICO and other offenses on small, defenseless, ordinary Americans.