

The Institute of Medicine  
500 Fifth Street NW  
Washington DC, 20001

Brad Wooden  
1469 Darling St. 208  
Ogden, UT 84403  
801-389-3860  
writingindependence@yahoo.com

Dear Institute of Medicine,

Friday, February 24, 2007

I am interested in the scientific facts in circumstances of the U.S. Autism Epidemic. I am aware of your policy statement regarding the safety confirmation of vaccines with mercury preservative, published in 2004. And I did see Dr. Harvey Fineberg interviewed on Meet the Press August 7, 2005 regarding the IOM's study in the U.S., U.K. and Denmark. You published "Preserving Public Trust—accreditation and human research participant protection programs" through The Academy Press in 2001 and "Preparing for Terrorism" later in 2002. I have been reading these and find them useful for better understanding your intergovernmental role on behalf of public safety. Thank you.

As you are aware, the subject of autism has received an enormous amount of media attention in the news cycle recently this month. What isn't being acknowledged however is the growing apparent inconsistencies with what the IOM has determined and publicly stated on your website and to the press, and what the private autism and news organizations continue to disburse or play up as the only operant causation or etiology for the alarming rates of the disorder. Distinguishing the 'autism' descriptor from mental retardation, the statistics remain an open question from 1 in 50 to 1 in 160, leading many to believe there is no solid epidemiology beyond inventory of blank numbers. 60 Minutes has quoted the UCLA MIND Institute saying they won't or can't "touch the biological origins", eschewing further concern for tracking this phantom's etiology. The proponents citing vaccines skew the statistics and want to segregate the milder functional impairment, but disregard the twice as many labeled 'mentally retarded' as if that population weren't of the order of magnitude and worse per these prevalence increases.

The facts as your policy has stated differ from those going it on their own espouse, applying saturations of media coverage as if to campaign for public acceptance of their preferred causal interpretation. It is not in keeping with the maintaining of health authority with any sort of academy decorum advising the government with its vetted clout and expertise; and I do believe it has gone unchecked for long enough, presenting a clear and present danger to the public. It has taken on the pallor of catastrophic med-fraud. News networks host message boards asking the non-expert public: "Why do you think autism is on the rise? (CBS Nightly News, MSNBC)

Genetics research is being taken out of context by AP participation in local front page news stories on autism, a Dr. Hillary Coon of the University of Utah Department of Psychiatry who is coauthor to a 2005 family-based linkage study (SLC Tribune, 2/19/07, A1,15). While research looking at the antibodies to fetal brain tissue in autistics has determined they are not inherited from the parents (Silva et al, 2004), nonetheless Coon et al are interpreting gene-band activation patterns as literal hereditary transmission and failing to recognize adaptive ontogeny or "puffing" whereby common human genes (such as those which govern the basics of all organ function, like the production of insulin or serotonin) are switched on or off given canalizing biomolecular functions and their "frozen" versus "live" or "active" developmental pathways [Kauffman, et al; Santa Fe Institute, "The Origins of Order", Oxford, 1993]. The other studies she cites indicate normal modulation of short and long alleles for the hyper versus normal-seratonemia. Clearly this could indicate dormant or frozen versus the doubling robusticity activation of the same complex, i.e. puffing pattern. Brain tissue is known to be as self-organizing as any, forming new

neural connections, the vascular system is known to proliferate new branches as well, either in blockage workarounds or the growth of cancerous tissue.

The complexity of the genetics here is not understood completely by the Psychiatric Geneticists, it requires complex systems sciences such as the Santa Fe Institute (an offshoot of Los Alamos) in New Mexico specialize in, i.e. Complexity Theory. Profound ontogenetic changes in the genome cover a range of potential hormonally driven variation given the “rugged landscape” outcomes pressured by conditions of the ontogenetic environment—or as with athletes and their steroid usage for another example. That being said, hopefully the IOM will reassert its authority in government to bring full etiological cross-correlative statistics screening assessments from the CDC, looking at environment, toxins etc. Most notably the adverse unexplored potentials in public drinking water fluoridation require full risk-screening accreditation.

It in particular exhibits a high degree of outright fraud, misreporting natural lake, river and stream concentrations by an order of 100 to 1,000 times what they really are, advertising they are already found in the ppm scale in natural waterways when in fact they are only in the parts per billion. Furthermore ‘containment goals’ published by water companies give themselves a range from 1..4ppm, making the exaggeration 400 to 4,000 times average variation in natural water. Finally, it has never been rigorously put to the IOM’s HRPPP accreditation standards, safeguarding hazardous affects on the human anatomy comprehensively, i.e. nervous system, kidney, bone and bone marrow over the full range of human development **as exposure is prescribed by that purveyor** (not the toothpaste manufacturers): ingestion vis a vis routine, passive hydration, whatever age and throughout the entire life.

**This is in conflict with poison control center tips on all fluoride toothpaste warning labels**, by regulation mandated under the FDA or CFR for reasons surely of well known toxicology on that element’s well catalogued variety of poisoning symptoms if ingested. It is a fact then, fluoridation operates in violation of stipulated federal guidelines on recommended practice and precaution while other purveyors of the cavity relief for dental hygiene are held to uphold (clearly demarking the rift of trade double standards, unfairness and tell tale favoritism—it never being a question of primary relevance to dental caries or cavities, however counted, by whom, or with what regard to tracking the survey subjects’ hygiene behavior or enforcing any rigorous controls. This is an out and out fraud on the basis of ingestion of toxin by the recommending purveyor’s wholesale disregard, contrary and anticompetitive to faithful carriers of the government’s warning labels on store bought toothpaste. How it has ever slipped through the cracks of American jurisprudence is perhaps also testimony to fluoridation’s implicated tolls on vulnerable human consciousness; however it has always drawn public outcry, as if its molestations were solely for the purpose of taunting ordinary communities with an obvious evil by any reasonable literacy or judgment, in order to show everyone who’s who in back channel brokering overriding democratic control of the government. A complex issue mired in desperately remorseless criminal psychopathology, tearing at our civics infrastructure wherever it rears its ugly head, it is guaranteed to permanently ruin the relations between Americans and whomever promotes fluoridation abroad, especially our sibling English speaking cousin England with its British Fluoridation Society blithely steaming ahead with trite, incidental tooth benefits from toxic poison ingestion promotions. Its molestation specific drive to harm population’s genetic integrity of sexual reproduction and offspring success is manifestly gross to unbelievable in invidiousness. That is genocide and is thoroughly condemned; it needs however history’s frequent reminders of what not to forget. It is genocide by not only implicated SIDS tolls, and mutagenic damage to genome, but also the destructive maiming beyond the ability to live a normal life and produce offspring when they are severely retarded or autistic. The victims of this rampant criminal obliteration however are given clever ways of rationalizing their straights with autistic-

behaviorally retarded children by their “care givers”, who appear to have suggested to them in gratuitous genetics malpractice, ‘that they should have pulled their genes out of the gene pool before conceiving’ (UCLA MIND Institute, Dr. Ozonoff’s practical therapeutic advices repeated by a client named Valerie, “60 Minutes”, 2-18-07, reported/interviewed by Leslie Stahl).

For example in the list of wholesale risk screening disregard of fluoridation there is no concern over susceptibility of the brainstem and any potential interference that toxin and others has with autonomic respiration nerve impulse regulation, therefore also in natural sleep inhibition of voluntary motor control—what would lead immediately to anoxic brain death. The SIDS clinical electro encephalographs of brain stem signal irregularities in cyanic apnic infants already confirms there is a problem in that anatomy [Firstman, Richard, and Jamie Talan, “The Death of Innocents”]. None of that can receive or recognize the say so of local dental or osteopathic, individual physician’s advice and recommendation; as I’m sure you know—but it is fluoridation’s staple pattern of malpractice within isolated regions of the U.S., left to the mercy of state’s governments who are highly influenced by political party domination—exactly the opportunity for fraud, waste, abuse and wrongdoing as it appears to be. Utah for example, the lowest teacher salaries for 18 years, yet there is a 1.6 billion dollar “tax surplus” from 2006. Federal intervention is long overdue, American citizen’s lives and property are at risk. This is not within state’s incorporation bounds. It has gone to excess and invited predators who have made an industry out of sheer quackery, stating bluntly and against approved advices: “Swallow your toothpaste through your major source of domestic water supply, what you cook and prepare food with, as well as infant preparations and nursing mothers.” It is that stark raving outrageous and simple to unmask, you must put an immediate end to it with the full force of the United States Code and authorities held to protect, not stand idly by. It is terrorism of rank amateur device (a known hazardous substance), of full motive to do grievous injury for its sick satisfactions and greed thinking it has outwitted its inferiors—we can have none of this nonsense. It must be made an example of in order to deter its racket and protect our future history; and that example must break the caprice of corporations, reclassifying them as vessels on land subject to full impounds where they go openly offending in the territories (S. Ct. Digest). The offense is within the CBR alert conditions for the Metropolitan Medical Response System to respond to acts of terrorism. The IOM is needed to sue for full recognition of the Defense Against Weapons of Mass Destruction Act of 1996 (P.L. 104-201); as are the U.S. and state authorities.

In concluding this letter to you I offer you the advice that with the knowledge explosion, the internet and all the research on it, regardless the dilapidation of public education in the U.S., there is no way these elementary biological facts will remain hidden or any ‘kept secret’ from persons of even lay science understanding. The government must be disabused of any assumptions based on their propensity for withholding disclosure from the American public, that it hasn’t already figured out the likely etiology for gross statistics to defy what is known about the natural rate of mutation compared to the epidemic’s exponential rates of increase [Bengstrom, Lund University Dept. of Genetics]. Honesty and integrity, especially on the part of where it is expected to be a standard of preventive medical absolute, impartial to political ‘ideologies’ or those based on class or race isn’t an elective option for the CDC or Congressional leadership. I would therefore recommend you continue adhering to the IOM’s scientific counseling standards and consider your mission objectives in better hands upholding the continuity of U.S. government, entitled to your institutional outreach and support in this crisis. Please make inroads to committees, House and Senate, The Speaker of the House, the joint federal support arms of the Metropolitan Medical Response System: CDC, DOJ, FBI, and FEMA to handle the matter appropriately and accordingly in a timely fashion. As evidenced by media saturation, you stand to loose the disinformation war surrounding Autism, leaving the astute public aware their government has let them down or abandoned the charges to protect and inform them vested in your institutions and

the leadership. They can lead nothing without sound and aggressive advisors; you will and do have to compete for your already allotted time as counsels, and brush aside the Whistleblower bluster fabricated out of the legal error by their appointed S. Ct. opinion in *Garcetti v. Ceballos*. Those laws protecting government employees should they report abuses to internal affairs or checking and balancing monitors and review committees are not repealed by association with what has been confabulated out of the plaintiff's original cause error, regarding free speech.

Sincerely,

Brad Wooden

P.S. We have one fundamental question to consider in all this, by in large reinforced through too many indicators of escape pattern from unnatural outcome responsibilities, i.e. is the world ready for the undressing of yet another genocidal artifice promulgated by, of and within the same group of suspects as the last and related historic experience with technologically systematic human eradication? There is one answer: its victims were assumed ready and assumed to have given informed consent to be destroyed. No such jurisprudence will have that, you can't consent to be harmed by the commission of any crime. The public need not recognize the cons, taunts or jeers of its proponents, that behavior is not allowed in courtrooms either, fluoridation can answer to the criminal code under prosecution—in turn the prosecutors answer to the electorate.