


Hypocritical Media Using Fischer's Passing for Human Shield--fires blanks

Addressing The L.A. Times' Tim Rutten, on Bobby Fischer 1/25/08

Yes we've certainly seen many examples of true madness in the past years Mr. Rutten, I can't think Bobby Fischer would have been so pretentious to have believed he could rival or equal the criminal psychopathology behind the 9-11 demolitions at The World Trade Center, or that he would himself personally torture another man or several to death, then boast about it for 8 years at every opportunity while neglecting to point out the strategy of torture for the purpose of forcing false confessions and identities on random kidnapping victims in order to pad the alibis of highest treason and war criminality. That turned out to be the chess game too didn't it, false pretenses, pyrotechnics, official lies and coverup; but then it sank to the most vile, bloodthirstily disgusting madness didn't it!? Extremist forms of anti-Semitism ...inflicted on the other Semitic people, the Arabs...racial profiling and a criminal epidemic of human rights violations all in the name of 'blame the victim'. Who do you and the LA Times or the AP think you're kidding fool? Checkmate!

Something in your published resentment for Mr. Fischer is interesting to bring out (LA Times, "Bobby Fischer turned out to be chess' pride and sorrow", Tim Rutten, 1/25/08)...there are those who can only watch, and those who play the game at the highest level. Perhaps you don't understand that difference between the doers and the aficionados; or if you could, maybe you would

appreciate that a certain level of concentration must block out other distractions in order to achieve such a performance level. He doesn't have to be your chess librarian, you can look it up. He knows his game and the basics, isn't that enough? People who memorize baseball statistics aren't typically the guys pumping steroids and hitting the gym, then playing in the major leagues either I would suppose. Mr. Rutten...are you a secret bench warmer or chess wannabe...when you're not pretending to be a culture reporter?



Who has made more contributions, or any significant breakthroughs in the theory of chess in the past 300 years of its history? Isn't it remarkable if anyone could lend to something so dry and constrained, so thoroughly played out in entirety, that Fischer realized all of chess being played in tournaments today is by rote memorization. He complained the game had gotten so uncreative he couldn't stay interested unless he forced random moves on his opponent. People get tired of same old square dancing I guess. So the big

embarrassing fact about professional tournament chess play is that it is no more inspiring than a bunch of school aged kids cramming for a spelling bee—a fact you have also failed to notice or repeat. Sometimes people have to allow a certain denial to predominate their attitude about something of a kindred or childhood dearness to them.

That fact seems to escape your fantasy world of greater significance to put Mr. Fischer to shame—cling to it if you need to, but even the non-chess players can see what's going on with the media's bruising of his reputation on his passing. And it is this, contempt, envy and childishly bitter jealousy for his notoriety and productive contribution; also a coward's parting shot at someone with the conscience and balls to face off rogue, tyrannical bureaucracies—yes even the indignant, hypocritical spite of artificially dubbed and routinely propagandized cult consciousness—as shrill as any cries of "Fatta!" most the time. Who's *fatta*, him or you?

When was the last time Tim Rutten decided he had to take a stand against anything?

Have you even played 60 games of chess, to your memory?


The Wikipedia article is much more thorough and informative, it emphasizes his remarks on 9-11, that he'd taken up a tone of chastising America for finally suffering what was inevitable, or ultimately getting what it deserved. But

I don't think he meant it with quite the same sense of appreciation or joviality as that expressed by a vanload of Israeli nationals reported to the police while 9-11 was happening [Levi, "The Protocols of Zion", video documentary about post 9-11]. They were run out of America. But the irony seemed to be lost on the earliest AP hit and run on Fischer's biography. Just who is being anti-American in that picture? Oh the untidy details and truth again is it? Well that's never a problem for hack writers of newsprint hatchet jobs.

Stubborn will with real grit it must take to fight one's way to the top of chess' highest titles, and it doesn't seriously quibble over whether or not the sell-out press is scavenging for every word and comment said offhand or to repel another media mugging. But if you want to, if it is and has been the mass media's agenda to monitor everything any famous person says, looking for those priceless nuggets of forbidden sentiment, then you'll be relieved to find it is far more common than isolated to someone rare as the 'rock-star' international chess genius.

Maybe you should really be taking notes on everyone's regards and making a list. No telling when you'll need those weapons to defame what really can't be tarnished by muckraking pseudo-intellectual claptrap hoisting the petard of "anti-Semitism". "The boy who cried wolf", is also a children's classic, of which there is little need to belabor other than to say most things adults pride over in their personal trappings of enthusiast avocation or following (like horticulture or building model sailboats

for example) are more or less the burden in polite society for others to humor, rather than take too seriously. Beautiful chess tables, I'll have to get me one of them. The pro's never play on those cheap foldout cardboards I'll bet. Tell me, does Tim Rutten own one...<snicker>. Please keep your curios, shrines, and all personal attacks to efface any preferred form of hero-worship out of serious journalism. Fischer's not a punching bag that's going to sanitize the likes of your industry. And, 'It doesn't bode well to speak ill of the dead.' Does the media torment negativity from its quarry?



Media Monopoly Pawn

Is it possible being brought up to focus all his maturational development and attention on kiddie horse races of intellectual achievement at chess, to eventually run in the Kentucky Derby (stage parents?) left Bobby Fischer not that well educated or well-rounded and refined an individual—though appearances and small talk could be deceiving? In fact his opponents remarked about his seeming impertinence and being wrapped up in his own world.

We've been hearing a lot about the condition of autism, it's strange coincidence in several...if however not to compare with pandemic mental retardation over a "spectrum" of severities...cases of genuine exceptional yet challenged human abilities. We know autistics have a great difficulty processing interpersonal, emotional and social complexities.

Is anyone to blame while America has been in the fluoropoisoning insurgent's hog heaven of unrestrained public mayhem meddling with nearly every drinking water supply, especially those in urban centers going back 60 years?

PROFILE OF "PIZZA THE" MEDIA "HUT" Frank Brady, St. John's Media Chair and proverbial 'fox in the hen house' of maligning misrepresentations NPR interview 1/26/08,

Media harassment drives popular public prodigy-figure to rant with retaliations, desperate to find some way to fight back after someone declared him unfit for human recognition from the highest

hickory stump that ant could climb to [Brady, recalling Fischer's initial fall from Jewish grace]. How's that for ethnosensitive name-calling, the 'ant' allusion? The "—"word will not be featured in this article, not even where Fischer is compared to some media cabal's seized upon property, and or "—"word variant. How dare I use the un-word? Because some pathetic slobs are roping in a long lost relative group homogeneity to take the blame for their rampaging greed, carnage and attitude of complete self-anointing remorselessness with the psycho-spasm to keep recriminating any criticism. It has taken its own assembly of worship hostage, the typical ethno-specific extortion racket, and is making demands based on all their identities.

Fetishists for his personality leach off Fischer most of his mature life, like paparazzi, rather than leave him alone, prod him with the microphone to point of privacy violation tormenting volatile backlashes—he reads how the defamation mechanism works in the clutches of what would be falsely advertised as any 'anti-defamation league', turned into a junta of targeting free speech anywhere for a far more broadcast exposed defamation against him.

Fetishist writes book about him, is interviewed by NPR. Grand Pooh-Bah of St. Johns University Mega Media Universe, Frank Brady, he likes that sense of control, the control of other's misrepresentation, the making or breaking of people's reputations, their defamation or their being let alone to be

who they are without targeted, frequent and unmitigated overexposure.

The problem of placing accomplishments on a block for public auction (hunted and harassed by organized media assault and personality examination) when a person fetches the stature of world renown fame. Just who's did the media think Bobby Fischer was? The tabloids try to drub their livestock into conformity, all media personality is no different, only some idiosyncratic notoriety has to be cattle-rustled.

Does every media figment or passing drama of prominent figures have to pass a certain filter's diligent and hounding review before it is to be officially approved like a global totalitarian decree? Who is preying upon luminosity like a vulture of blame-games and quack psychiatry? First Nash is a political dissident for his show of not bowing to radical Middle Eastern derived junta fascist policy abroad, and is therefore certifiably a state's specimen of "pronounced insanity." We know that Frank Brady is as apt to be the real sicko, as well as his ilk of human media chattel tending.

Is this Noam Chomsky's "media filter", acting instead as summary search and seizure of all personal effects without a warrant, stalking entrapment, harassment, invasion of personal privacy, thought police detainment and defamation? And is it such a weakling against due condemnation it has run to FISA's court for permission to raid people's condign insults written down in their personal

files for sharing on the free to associate and publicly paid for internet?

Why make a big deal about an eccentric chess genius's crank attitude? Or is the economy of fame and its hold on the mass media's spread of up to the moment attention grabbing mass consciousness too tempting for its usual parasitic opportunists?

Is the public entitled to unbiased, neutral reporting and biographical coverage of people without the ethnocentric agenda of revenge attached to it? And especially when that news is funded through public radio? If the media stalks a famous person, sticking the microphone in their face to the point they grow embittered, to the point they understand who is stalking them and maligning them, how balanced a review of the circumstances is the conversion of their reaction into fodder for their character assassination?

Did the media muckraking industry surrounding Fischer have inside information as to his social-interpersonal deficits, his low emotional quotient? Did they in fact feed off a man who would test out at functionally illiterate with autistic type social disorientation? Great then, the vampires of media empire have been feeding off of a cripple because they thought no one would believe him impaired or regressive in any way due to his chess talent. Fischer an autistic savant used to promote and hype a fear of criticizing the exploitation of American government for war profiteering piracy.

Why is chess such the hot item anyway? It is a cult worship problem again in terms of whatever iconic totem seems to capture the power of the human mind—just look at how Albert Einstein is a club brand marketing staple, to the diminishment of all other scientific achievement in popcultural literacy. Frank Brady said 'the media believes chess geniuses must be border line madmen' (to accurately paraphrase), just like mathematician John Nash, a.k.a. the 'Beautiful Mind'. Frank Brady determines what the media is to believe, that's his career's definition. You getting the pattern template feedback? Anti-Intellectualism...Anti-Mental Achievement Greatness...Anti-Talent...Anti-Education....Anti-Genius—except Einstein the open robed bohemian woman chaser and plagiarist. He gets a permanent free pass. Anti-White Intellectual Accomplishment; just kissing ass to money and monarchy, real power that resents and persecutes anything standing out above itself—especially when it has defeated its trinket effigy game version like a cheap toy.

People will rub the media's nose in contrived bombast just to screw with them for attempting to monitor their political correctness—that could have been his faux pas instinct regarding all the heavy handed press coverage. Err on the side of boldly stuffing their noise worse than they bargained for. Is he supposed to be Mr. Rogers? He's supposed to eat chess challengers for breakfast, lunch and dinner, like an engine of carnivorously complex and ruthless computation. So get out of his face already you!

Leave it to the scavenger apes of the mass media dynastic monopolism if there ever were, (no ethnic group insinuated by that, just diseased egotists of status seeking 'madness' calling another person mad in true Freudian projection) to cloy with bloated pride kicking around the remains of someone they've always instinctively despised. It's like Moonwatcher the apeman from 2001 A Space Odyssey, only having to wait until the ripening of post mortem opportunism to lunge for the carrion. First he'll thump the corpse with that bone <..whump!...>, and then, gathering more courage, he'll just go on <whumping!> away at it.

A battle of nothing more than calumny, the shock value in deliberate, conscientious slander by false and malicious statements to injure reputation, sensational trickery through the abuse of disparaging lies--who is going to blink first in the contest of that form of ill will? Was Fischer a wanted nazi sympathizer and war criminal? No he was not. Did he like to taunt the nosey fatheads, using the media to goad them? The alleged comment's are not well documented, but taken on word of some consensus he said such things. So what? No one cares, (he's not a state leader or a potential terrorist threat), and it is an atrocious grandstanding move to just whip up more victimization sympathy, at all times, for any reason, any opportunity to hog the stage and yet again own the permanent center stage of media manufactured American psyche.

Stop trying to police people's words where they do not count, or that

becomes a fast socialism. Whining and complaining all the time, always demanding the attention of mass publicized sympathies, no matter where or whom they have to go to dig up a pretext for self pity, that in fact is what does the most damage just by airing it till everyone can't avoid it. The staking out and entrapment to exploit for sympathy is what is actually the overwhelmingly greater anti-Semitism advertisement. Or, that is not a concern where coercion to fear speaking out is instead the ulterior motive.

But they have made a soap box out of a personality, upon their death and obituary notices. And they are basically up to the constant intimidation pressure to instill the coercion of backlash-fears for ever speaking out or being critical of contemptuous foreign policy and its adherents, architects, organizers what all.

This is why the truth is officially forbidden, about 9-11, about other things going back to Johnson's administration.

Something doesn't like the reputation it has made and earned for itself among Americans, something which has no claim to any religiosity or wholly owned enterprise over its entire ethnicity. Mid East trouble is still just spelled a.k.a "d.s." in America, whatever vine of the Semite banana tree, no matter, sacred cow moo'ing non grata. It is not a church or a center of leadership, it is a media propagandist rogue acting alone to adversely possess everyone else's identity or their labeling as some fabricated suspect of racial bigotry—

what a trick, what a con job. ..that dogd.s. don't wash no more..

Matt Groening said it best with his own version of so much for "Life in Hell".

NPR Interview

Frank Brady, chair St. Johns University Mass Media and Communications, Bobby Fischer, "Profile of a Prodigy"

AP article the same photo the L..A. Times used later.

It's more important to detail the life of Bobby Fisher with a handful of incoherent locations, chess federation sanctions, and a few offhand remarks about the most conceitedly intolerant peeves, than to say anything else about his life, activities, whereabouts or achievements or if he left us any memoirs. No mention of a love life, or any paternity battle, any publications, any tv appearances, what his political beef with the U.S. was. To sum him all up like an obituary, he made anti-Semitic remarks. That says everything people will need to know about Bobby Fisher it appears. What is wrong with the media when it chooses to admonish the life of greatness in achievement anyway? Is it up to its tired thought policing.

Raymond Keyne, correspondent for the Times of London: "...tragic he descended into madness and anti-Semitism."

If he'd ever said unkind things about Mexicans, or Blacks or Puerto Ricans, it really wouldn't matter or be newsworthy would it?

He praised the 9-11 attacks, (just off the cuff as the story was first breaking), before the detailed aftermath indicated a coup inside the U.S. government had long term planned the false pretense pyrotechnics (demolition totality) over their tooth grinding decade while Clinton was in office.

No information is reported by the AP about his kidney disease other than that it was a "long illness", so was he poisoned, apart from fluoridation?

"Volatility and often bizarre behavior. ", overshadowed what otherwise would have won him a more esteemed reputation...somewhere, by some people who seem to need to place esteem in a world chess champion. Maybe because he'd apparently figured out what they consider their toy?

But his personal life and everything he said became too controversial for his constant monitors' superiors and gate keepers? 17 year gap in AP chart ending in sanction violating Yugoslav match in '92. He ducked in '75 only 3years after his immortalizing in 1972. What's wrong with this picture? Was he ordered not to fraternize with any U.S. Cold War Boogeyman?

...the ones who have to spy on the celebrities as a profession and tell all things naughty about them...oh goodie!..

--vignette: "arch nemesis": Fisher

"That man possesses an elicit capability with something that is not his to be that good at. Everything he says from now on must meet with approval of the highest order. Is that understood?"

...you know that Wall Street, simian Ebola viral outbreak is just typical, let the apes blow up their neighborhood under a ruse just to stage another grotesque bloodletting, that's typical...

Boris --the spaz-- Spassky...Kapov was not going to happen, I can't say anything further, the 'touchy feely' had sunk to an all time low. It was considered too valuable a psychological appurtenance at that time to risk a defeat to our then mortal combat counterpart. They took that match away. They made me throw the game--after that I had no interest in Magoo's Plantation and Enterprises Inc.

...can we talk? Japan is remarkably devoid of Jews, did you know that?...

...Of course that can't help a big strange looking sore thumb of a White man sticking out in that society either...

If you're not where they think you're supposed to be, you're "in seclusion", #@& that...anybody not arranged like neat little automatots in a row, racially, ethnically and politically, is obviously shirking in exile to those fatheads.

Anything effused with a group pride, that dares to cross lines, act out, go against the grain, mouth off, ignore protocol for how they are to be handled, promoted and advertised; is instead rubber stamped an embarrassment and group liability--it's just the sickening anthropolitics of a toy game. "We bad, and that's that!--Jesus! Whatever already! <arms raised, fingers extended, bowing repeatedly> White man evil!...White man evil!..."

And you'll find throughout the court recorder's notes: *"Did not behave appropriately."*, *"Has been recalcitrant and exhibits unfavorable signs."*, *"Has issued opinions from his office under the high throne of a queen he is not protecting at all, that he is anti-Semitic"...* *"Has not yet realized that he was divinely inspired to be a courtesan pet of marked distinction should he only have conducted himself along the conventional lines of decency and modesty in all things."...* *"As has been and shall forever be true of all things in this realm, Divine Right hath imprimatur over all collectibles and merchandise bearing any semblance of 'the expression' of regal copyright, and that means soul possession rights of the unlucky winner of too many chess matches."*

Fisher obviously couldn't feel at home in America anymore, once he realized the British infiltration had spied and apprized him as their adverse possession. The fame racket also has haunted too many pop music stars and movie stars. "I think I'm turning Japanese!", was not written by John Lennon you know, and he was knocked out by one of those mind control drones.

A begrudgingly envious statecraft must have haunted Fisher (as it surely did others), that he'd been alive and well and hanging out in Japan itself kept such a secret even by the time the movie about his life was made "Searching for Bobby Fisher", like some epistle to him directly through world film markets to find his way home and make good on all the sins of his past, betrays only a maniacal people minding establishment

that has the antique gall to still keep locking human subjects up in its virtual Tower—much else the hubris to disregard the public's awareness of how ruthlessly it stalks the victims of its obsessions—as many have estimated from the bad end of Princess Diana, also turned into a made for entertainment media band-aid and film montage of sorts.

Fisher would've have kicked any of those geezer's butts (all of'em at the same time) at White Hall, man to man, at his game--what cannot be allowed if even in appreciative spirit, for the sake of posteriors. The Incredible Hulk of Chess is Dead at 8 squared. (epicurean mutant ninja) Strange there was also a serial killer in the Former Soviet Union who had turned the chess board into his totem of evil slightly earlier in 2007, and the coincidence is not missed by those whose elixir is the banally trivial numerological necromancy, (Oliver Triden), then again we must have idiots playing up the emergency call significance of 9-11 who loom large enough to toy with humanity at whatever muted and disfigured pleasure.

...then he must have taken the secret of his system with him, how could the impossible search engine tolls that stagger main frames have been so simple for a man born in Chicago and raised in Brooklyn? What did he see in that old-world parquet ditty anyway? He must have seen it like some unfathomable naturalist, free to roam in the park, always sure of his step or range of capabilities that would never really let him down—not that he didn't

have to lose pieces too, like all the rest.

Fisher should have been documented scientifically and invited to rigorously expound on his thinking process, in plain English. It might be as simple as a trick with mirrors, just pure symmetry visualized in the mind's eye. Wouldn't that be typical? Can you section off the chess board's melees like they existed for all their important parts on an isolated stranded square or rectangle of the whole board, and then learn a knack at calling a move at a glance that will if consistently applied wend its way toward your win's favor?

Cellular Chess is or was a newspaper item before everything completely tanked all the way down to contests to write the lines for editorial cartoonists and to limit the number of letters to the paper calling foul on the paper's fluoropoisoning complicity.

What is the simple secret science to the unobtainable game of chess in all it's finite flatness?

I'll just bet it might be another apostasy Fisher might've been hounded for. For all we really know about these heady complexities to epicurean delights and their strange, lurking, shadowy figures (Judd Hersch) Bobby Fisher might've been an intellectual dissident for a very specific reason, a true 'enemy of the state' even in his own land, or the Ruskie of his heyday for all that matters.

It might have amounted to something even more bizarre in how the information police scrub out any signs or indicators there can be no point to war, that mutually assured destruction is what the game of chess in fact illustrates when opponents are equally matched; or that nothing to compare with any definition of success at technically 'winning' remains in the end.

Or just generalize that ethos to the Cold War for computer and algorithmic supremacy, the tic tac toe resolution to the movie "War Game", Fisher might've been instrumental in elucidating how even a complex and ramified contest between multi component defensive grids with variable capabilities still turns into a chaos of indeterminacy where only a pyrrhic and unimportant victory could possibly remain—and he may have held some esoteric technical nomenclature for exactly and technically why that is or how it comes about ("A Beautiful Mind", mathematician John Nash).

Chess, for one thing has always been a farcical exploitation of wood or plastic or simple base material piece eliminations symbolically, to no end, like were it in life a real battle on earth people in all their cultural diversity would just always be in supply to throw away on whatever arrayed forgone destructions, a perpetual carnage machine at the beck and call of its opposed masters.

We know that isn't reality, however huge the earth and biosphere eventually became realized, the limitations of

patience, trusts, and belief in other different human beings and cultures of different languages, religions, customs and their own significance at the foremost pinnacle of their resolve.

Chess trivializes the loss and true, significant impacts of war, the wounds history does not spare new upcoming generations.

This is the overlooked nugget in mention of the passing of the legend and man Bobby Fisher, and it is overlooked quite possibly on purpose, and instead his name is defamed with a puerile fixation on his personal opinions which he is perfectly free to hold, adhere to, and spout off any time the moment seemed appropriate to him. Anti-Semitism as a social bane does not provide a cover for war profiting pizza the huts, they're not good either.

Is denying the World Trade Center was blown up any different that an indignant, uninformed opinion denying the Holocaust ever happened? Or don't other people, as a homogenous several thousand individuals matter, or the dead troops and dead Iraqis from the war profiteering fraud, disease and razing of a whole culture? Is it just the way a certain group sees things that makes a judgment on everything as correct, proper or incorrect, or racist, calloused and spiteful? How far will the chosen brand labeling go until it is unconscionably and inhumanly selfish?

Another false assumption is there are no animosities, contempt, ingrained bigotries among Jews for other ethnic and religious groups. Decrying anti-

Semitism does not preclude those who do it don't harbor their own hatreds toward other peoples. So when suspected and branded such an empty term with no self exonerative value from those who accuse it, it's to say in reply: who haven't they turned off with that trick, that arrogance and ethnocentrism? Monocultural worldviews place everyone beneath narrow self interests, it doesn't matter which one. Language rights about criticism pointing out where the illegal behavior and its sheltering is don't belong to any group exclusively.

Back to the issue of exploiting a fear tactic with a buzz-word of unpleasant connotations in order to effect censorship and the elimination of accountability calling foul, reviewing the facts and indicating where fault lies—a totalitarian population control agenda is going to seize on that for a red herring device to implement the silencing of protest, the labeling and suspecting of concerned citizens; it's a gimmick to quash dialogue, hold kangaroo hearings that exude conflict of interest and effect the removal of criminal procedures. The majority is cut off from popular representation through the artifice of preemptive ongoing crisis with a standing marshal law overruling the effective function of law enforcement to fight government corruption, through the recriminating of war crime on skeptics and critics as “anti-Semitic”.

Feigning victimization in order to flak for illegitimate, law breaking overthrow of the processes of well regulated government to topple it and further erode its foundation, wittingly or

unwittingly fails the test of being conscientious. Washingtonians might think they have a certain status of privilege being on the inside of the kingdom's walls, like an class in service to the elites who've sewn up all the officialdom as their staffing and selection monopoly, thus cutting out popular vote or representative government. Then internal regulation fails and the precepts of impeachment are farmed out to city mayors to stump on in order to remove the issue to an illusion of responsive government. The overriding instinct is to remain in the kitchen where all the gravy is being made and dolled out, to the automatic suppression of any moral conscience. The subject of torture is just a household reality from now on, to make it an accepted form of recognized state oppression, like new sentencing guidelines. Some tapes have to be destroyed, and since the pursuit of the kidnapped is to uncover the source of the WTC destruction (by in large), then it is a wild goose chase since the WTC was pyrotechnically long term planned, demolitions engineering—what only a coup from inside the government could have pulled off. Then torture is another ruse to intimate oppression, to demoralize the population and make its government seem irrationally preoccupied with cruelty and murder in secret locations. The broadcast beacon from Washington D.C., NPR, carries on the conversation about torture as if it amounted to what kind. The strawman segway dialogue tries to redefine the atrocity along lines of consumer preference, avoiding whether there is a legitimate basis for the whole human rights violation. By forbidding the

truth, or denying the scientific cause explanation for the 9-11 demolitions (like denying the holocaust), an aggravated crime for masquerading the same distortion compounds into the abduction, torture and murder of uninvolved, racially profiled third parties. It is torture to prop up the original false pretense, kidnapping and murder to bolster lies compounded by lies, or for no warranted excuse, rather the opposite; to block impeachment hearings and full criminal crackdowns with across the board purges of corruption in nexus with the coup.

There it is, holding fast to the policies of official lies, filling the news with its bias, protecting its bastion within the power circle; and for the rest of its media control on behalf of state criminality, it goes on highlighting opportunities for it to recriminate it's banner slogan of impunity: anti-Semitism. What could be more readily available than the death of a well known eccentric who had made several statements directed against Jews? It becomes fodder to feign the victim through the ransacking of democracy and to debase the human rights values central to American foundations, to mock them, and to torture and kill wantonly to spite them.

Now it is important to proceed with caution and take care to separate the issues which do in each respective principle originally derive from authentic grievance, and possibly the limitations that an individual's talent at chess conceal for their social ineptitude—because that is a well known behavioral deficit in many manifestations of either organic or

developmentally conditioned deficit. It is also known that media harassment goads panic and retaliation from the targets it pursues for sensational journalism, the violation of privacy or even the unnatural opportunity to exploit the media channel for adverse adaptation such personality disorder might enjoy abusing in order to strike back or get revenge, to get attention, but only getting attention through bombastic statements—acquired, learned adaptation. Then overreacting to what underlying conditions manifest as an illusion of threat or malice toward a group from the cranking of one individual is to be fooled by its precursor's illusion. To blame the challenged and exceptional for Terette's syndrome, blue streaks of scorching profanity, or saying insensitive or impolite things uninhibited. This happens because a totem of power and revere would seem to rescind the possibility of being less than perfect, a mere mortal and worse in other ways. It becomes an idol phenomenon which is more important to attack and strip of notoriety than to disregard on the level of one individual and their personal problems. Something idolized cannot be humanized, not it if has anything to do with intelligence, envy takes over, and the appetite for revenge; or without visible means of financial support, Fischer may have been taking the press' money to put on an act (paid interviews, exposure)—ergo the same stem of argument lending to trumped up inflammation of anti-Semitism, feigning enemies' rhetoric in order to provide the opportunity for its mass media admonishment, literally manufacturing the cause by playing a heavy in cahoots.

Otherwise, Bobby Fischer only 'made a monkey' out of his detractors, and they let him do it. By in large they exploited it for the most part, again to hype the eternal state of dissatisfaction there should ever be skepticism about our government and its inner workings, by a short changing strawman disassociation trying to make it an issue confined to the pretext of hate crime and defamation based on protected attributes under Title 42. His detractors are not spokesperson theologians for entire religious sects, they are not elected representative politicians either. It's important to separate the canard of trying to leverage personal libel with group immunity. People are responsible for their own attitudes and any crimes they commit, without the gang indemnification.

Double standards will only serve to widen gaps and ruin the relationship of the electorate to the government, why is denying there was a holocaust any different than denying 3,000 people were deliberately blown up (by preparations and staging years in advance) in the choking ovens of the Twin Towers at thermate temperatures in excess of 900F, driving many of them to automatically leap to their deaths before the chained explosives sequences?

It still isn't acceptable to lie and hold to those double standards, even if the fraudulence of the constructed coverup seems to remain more or less adequate to draw upon for denial, obscurantism's “plausible denial” or another scapegoat. They aren't valuations which can be traded on equal terms, or nothing of the

war of words means anything, and likely will get less and less sympathy or none.

If it then only boils down to making practical choices over the political correctness to avoid inner group opprobrium and exclusion; it is that instead, still something which cannot appeal as a form of self righteous argument when it is nothing more than self preservation. On this point, more explanation lends to the reasons for possible estimated death tolls taking a radical reduction after the official and accurate count was obtainable. Had there been a population with foreknowledge of those circumstances who avoided them, it would have been based on an understanding of coercion to maintain silence, a belief that if they talked they would be killed; and that their own efforts to foil the plan would have been futile considering how massively reinforced the collusion had to be to begin with—literally the biggest totalitarian superpower police state orchestrating the whole thing, what people could only run away from if they had the chance. The problem with that idea though, is that it begins with a handful of defectors who secretly oath to separate from the government, and then attack it for their own selfish purposes; is that a form of totalitarianism? Or is it just civil war? Because it is now a furthering grudge match over the contest between the will to choose which truth versus the imposition of totalitarian measures which have forced on lies and the war-inurnments of fascism.

“They are not representative of their happenstance ethic lot in this life, they

have not been elected its spokespersons or religious leaders or political office holders—they are just a handful of dirty muckrakers and operators using their pretense at religiosity for a soiled cleaning rag, and probably never attend weekly functions.

It’s anti ‘Pizza the Hut’-ism, and don’t mistake the addressee. Now you mind that definition or you are claiming an entire group of people as your possession and advertisement, same way the Krauts did.

It’s called anti-criminality too, anti-scumbag sans relevance of extraction or place and time of entering this world.

Game Over....stop playing with the Torah

...please show some respect...”

Brad Wooden, The Counter Media Journal of Critical Theory
writingindependence@yahoo.com
www.freewebs.com/writingindependence/main.htm

Strong Arm of Coercive
Psychiatric Police State

