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Abstract

Autism is a neurodevelopmental disorder involving dysmaturation of widely distributed brain systems. Accordingly, behaviors that depend on distributed systems, such as higher level cognition and sensorimotor control, are compromised in the disorder. The current study investigated alterations in neural systems underlying sensorimotor disturbances in autism. An fMRI investigation was conducted using saccadic and pursuit eye movement paradigms with 13 high functioning individuals with autism and 14 age- and IQ-matched typically developing individuals. Individuals with autism had reduced activation in cortical eye fields and cerebellar hemispheres during both eye movement tasks. When executing visually guided saccades, individuals with autism had greater activation bilaterally in a frontostriatal circuit including dorsolateral prefrontal cortex, caudate nucleus, medial thalamus, anterior and posterior cingulate cortex, and right dentate nucleus. The increased activation in prefrontal–striatal–thalamocortical circuitry during visually guided saccades indicates that systems typically dedicated to cognitive control may need to compensate for disturbances in lower-level sensorimotor systems. Reduced activation throughout visual sensorimotor systems may contribute to saccadic and pursuit disturbances that have been reported in autism. These findings document that neurodevelopmental disturbances in autism affect widely distributed brain systems beyond those mediating language and social cognition.
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1. Introduction

Autism is a neurodevelopmental disorder with multiple associated neurological impairments. MRI morphometry and postmortem studies have revealed altered gray matter volume and abnormal cell density and size in several cortical and subcortical regions (Bailey et al., 1998; Kemper and Bauman, 1998; Courchesne et al., 2001; Casanova et al., 2002; Sparks et al., 2002). Recent MRI studies of autism have documented abnormal white matter growth (Filipek et al., 1992; Herbert et al., 2004; Hendry et al., 2006), which might disrupt organization of long fiber tracts that are essential for integrating activity across brain regions for supporting adaptive behavior. These findings suggest that autism may affect the organization of both local neural circuitry and the functional organization of distributed brain systems.

Widely distributed dysmaturation in complex functional brain systems is a pattern that could explain the diverse clinical manifestations, and their variability, in autism. This would include disturbances in sensorimotor...
as well as higher cognitive functions and social behaviors, because all are dependent upon effective functional organization across widely distributed brain regions for their integrity. Because neural systems mediating sensorimotor behaviors, such as postural control and eye movements, are well understood, and the input and output to these systems are amenable to precise control and measurement, sensorimotor assessments are well suited to the task of delineating neurophysiological deficits in complex brain systems in autism.

Impairments in postural control have been documented using quantitative laboratory methodology (Molloy et al., 2003; Minshew et al., 2004). Eye movement abnormalities have been also reported in individuals with autism. The relevant brain circuitry supporting eye movements includes cortical eye fields and cerebellum that translate sensory information to motor commands, dorsolateral prefrontal cortex and anterior cingulate cortex that provide top–down higher-cognitive control of attention and eye movements, and striatum and brainstem which initiate eye movements. Individuals with autism have robust deficits in the voluntary or endogenous control of saccades. This has been observed as a difficulty inhibiting saccades to targets when instructed to do so, and a reduced accuracy of saccades made to remembered locations (Minshew et al., 1999; Goldberg et al., 2002). An fMRI study from our group demonstrated that the abnormality in memory-guided saccades was related to reduced recruitment of prefrontal cortex during task performance (Luna et al., 2002). Less robust deficits in visually guided, reflexive saccadic eye movements also have been reported. While the peak velocity and latency of visually guided saccades appear to be unimpaired, a mild dymetria (overshooting and undershooting) of saccades has been observed (Takarae et al., 2004b). These findings indicate that brainstem circuitry mediating reflexive saccadic eye movements is relatively intact in this population, but that cerebellar functions may be compromised. Smooth pursuit eye movement deficits have been also documented in laboratory studies of individuals with autism (Takarae et al., 2004a). The neurological basis of disturbances in visually guided pursuit and saccadic eye movements remains to be established, particularly with regard to whether they are caused by regional or systems-level dysfunction, and whether there are any compensatory or fundamental alterations in brain circuitry supporting these behaviors in individuals with autism.

The current study examined brain activation during execution of visually guided saccades and smooth pursuit tracking in high functioning individuals with autism and age- and IQ-matched typically developing individuals. The aim was to define the neural basis of abnormalities in the sensorimotor control of eye movements that were observed in previous laboratory studies.

2. Methods

2.1. Participants

Participants included groups of 17 individuals with autism and 19 typically developing individuals that were matched on age and Full-Scale IQ. Four subjects with autism and five typically developing subjects were excluded because of excessive head movement during imaging studies. Mean ages of the remaining participants were 24.5±7.7 years old (range: 17–44) for the autism group and 26.6±7.8 years (range: 18–40) for the typically developing control group, t(25)=0.68, n.s. All participants were given the Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale–III to assess general intellectual functioning. The mean Full-Scale IQ score was 105.9±12.3 (range: 87–129) for the autism group, and 110.3±13.7 (range: 90–138) for the control group, t(25)=0.87, n.s. The Verbal and Performance IQ scores were 107.5±11.5 and 103.1±12.5 in the autism group and 108.5±12.0 and 110.9±14.4 in the control group.

The diagnosis of autism was established according to DSM-IV criteria using two structured research diagnostic instruments, the Autism Diagnostic Interview-Revised (Lord et al., 1994) and the Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule-General (Lord et al., 2000). Diagnosis was confirmed independently by expert clinical opinion (Nancy J. Minshew or Diane L. Williams of the Pittsburgh CPEA Subject Core). Individuals with autism were excluded if they had an associated disorder known to cause autistic features such as fragile X syndrome or tuberous sclerosis. None of the participants with autism had comorbid ADHD.

Potential control participants were screened with a questionnaire, which they or their parents completed, to rule out a personal history of psychiatric or neurological disorder, family history of autism, and first-degree relatives with any neuropsychiatric disorder considered to have a genetic component. This information was confirmed by telephone review of the completed questionnaire and personal interview. Screening tests were used to rule out learning disabilities as evidenced by significant disparities in Verbal and Performance IQ scores or academic achievement scores significantly below IQ expectations.

No participants were taking medications known to affect cognitive or oculomotor abilities at the time of...
testing, including methylphenidate, amphetamines and anti-epileptic medications, and none had a history of head injury, birth injury or seizure disorder. Informed consent was obtained from all participants, with children and adolescents providing informed assent along with the consent of their parent or guardian. Far visual acuity of all participants was normal or corrected to at least 20/40. The study was approved by the Institutional Review Boards of the University of Pittsburgh and the University of Illinois at Chicago.

2.2. Tasks

2.2.1. Visually guided saccade task
A white circle subtending 1.0° of visual angle was presented against a homogeneous dark gray background and displaced every 750 ms in 4° steps along the horizontal plane (0°, ±4°, ±8° positions). The direction of target movement (right or left) was randomly assigned and thus unpredictable except at the ±8° locations after which the target always moved back toward the center of the screen. This saccade condition alternated with a central fixation condition in 30 s blocks for a total paradigm duration of 8.5 min. Stimuli were projected onto a rear projection screen that participants viewed from an angled mirror fixed to the head coil.

2.2.2. Smooth pursuit task
The target (white circle with a diameter of 1°) moved at an average speed of 10°/s along the horizontal plane. Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Eye movement measures during visually guided saccade and visual pursuit tasks obtained in laboratory studies prior to brain imaging investigations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control (n=14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Visually guided saccades with 10° targets</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latency (ms)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Visual pursuit</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gain at 8°/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gain at 16°/s</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Means and standard deviations are listed. No group differences are statistically significant.
meridian. Target velocity varied in a sinusoidal fashion moving between ±10°. This pursuit condition also alternated with a central fixation condition in 30 s blocks for 8.5 min.

2.3. Procedures

Before fMRI studies, all participants performed pursuit and saccade tasks in the laboratory setting to evaluate and verify their ability to perform oculomotor tasks (Takarae et al., 2004a,b) (Table 1). Eye movements were not monitored during scans as in several other clinical fMRI studies (Keedy et al., 2006) because of the lack of a technical capacity to obtain high resolution data in scanners, especially to accurately quantify pursuit eye movements, when these studies were initiated. However, all participants had performed the tasks consistently in a cooperative manner in the laboratory and were extensively trained with the tasks prior to the scans. Participants were also retrained in the tasks immediately before beginning the imaging studies, at which time task comprehension and cooperation were reconfirmed. Participants spent approximately 20 min in a mock scanner to gain familiarity with the noise and confinement of an MRI scanner before beginning imaging studies.

2.4. MRI parameters

The fMRI studies were performed on a 1.5 Tesla Signa whole body MR scanner (General Electric Medical Systems, Milwaukee, WI) with echo-planar imaging (EPI) capability (Advanced NMR Systems, Inc., Wilmington, MA) at the University of Pittsburgh. Gradient-echo echo-planar imaging, sensitive to blood oxygen level
dependent (BOLD) effects (Kwong et al., 1992), was performed using a commercial head RF coil. Acquisition parameters were as follows: TE=50 ms; TR=3 s; flip angle=90°; single shot; full k-space; 128×64 acquisition matrix with a field of view (FOV)=40×20 cm², generating an in-plane resolution of 3.125 mm². Fourteen oblique 5 mm slices with 1 mm gap, parallel to the AC–PC line, were acquired to cover the whole brain with the exception of the most posterior dorsal/posterior parietal lobe and the base of the frontal lobe and cerebellum. For registration of the functional data, T1 weighted images were acquired of the whole brain with 3D gradient echo imaging with TR=25 ms, TE=5 ms, 30° flip angle, 256×256×192 acquisition matrix, FOV=24×18 cm², 1.5 mm thick axial slices with no gap.

2.5. Image analysis

FIASCO software (Functional Imaging Analysis Software-Computational Olio) (Eddy et al., 1996) was

| Table 2 |
|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| **Control>autism** | **Left hemisphere** | **Right hemisphere** |
|脑区 | Volume (mm³) | Peak z | X | Y | Z | Volume (mm³) | Peak z | X | Y | Z |
| 前额叶/额前回 | 704 | 4.03 | 23 | -15 | 55 | 296 | 4.46 | -37 | -17 | 48 |
| 前额叶/额前回 | 296 | 4.12 | 5 | -3 | 52 | 80 | 4.75 | -1 | -11 | 48 |
| Dorsolateral prefrontal cortex/中额前回 | 176 | 4.59 | 41 | 26 | 28 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 后顶区/顶内沟 | 856 | 4.37 | 25 | -75 | 36 | 352 | 5.20 | -21 | -51 | 44 |
| 中心前区 | 320 | 4.34 | 3 | -57 | 32 | 240 | 3.96 | -10 | -67 | 42 |
| MT/V5/顶下外侧皮质 | 112 | 3.89 | 55 | -63 | -8 | 552 | 5.44 | -46 | -67 | -6 |
| **Autism>control** | **Left hemisphere** | **Right hemisphere** |
| 前额叶/额下外侧皮质 | 344 | 4.95 | 47 | 11 | 38 | 120 | 3.84 | -41 | 11 | 30 |
| 前额叶/额下外侧皮质 | 160 | 3.85 | 3 | 11 | 64 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Dorsolateral prefrontal cortex/中顶前回 | 448 | 4.71 | 33 | 57 | 20 | 568 | 4.66 | -21 | 67 | 18 |
| 前额叶/额下外侧皮质 | 120 | 4.03 | 11 | 39 | 32 | 472 | 4.65 | -2 | 29 | 34 |
| 后顶区/顶内沟 | 256 | 5.88 | 7 | -47 | 26 | 280 | 4.26 | -1 | -27 | 26 |
| MT/V5/顶下外侧皮质 | 72 | 4.68 | 53 | -61 | 6 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 基底节 | 136 | 4.26 | 1 | -17 | 4 | 96 | 3.40 | -1 | -13 | 4 |
| 豆状核 | 120 | 4.68 | 4 | 11 | 6 | 88 | 4.27 | -5 | 11 | 6 |
| 内囊 | - | - | - | - | - | 128 | 4.74 | -13 | -60 | -25 |
| **Visual Pursuit** | **Control>autism** | **Left hemisphere** | **Right hemisphere** |
| 前额叶/额下外侧皮质 | 496 | 4.84 | 41 | -17 | 46 | 240 | 4.60 | -35 | -11 | 52 |
| 前额叶/额下外侧皮质 | 216 | 4.28 | 2 | 17 | 66 | 208 | 4.28 | -7 | 15 | 64 |
| Dorsolateral prefrontal cortex/中顶前回 | 248 | 3.30 | 35 | 22 | 44 | 160 | 4.73 | -35 | 33 | 44 |
| 后顶区/顶内沟 | 1272 | 5.08 | 45 | -58 | 36 | 1120 | 4.06 | -44 | -54 | 48 |
| 中心前区 | 568 | 4.58 | 1 | -69 | 32 | 728 | 4.77 | -1 | -73 | 42 |
| 前额叶/额下外侧皮质 | - | - | - | - | - | 64 | 3.31 | -1 | 43 | 16 |
| 前额叶/额下外侧皮质 | 72 | 4.28 | 3 | 13 | 40 | 64 | 3.40 | -1 | 9 | 40 |
| 后顶区/顶内沟 | - | - | - | - | - | 136 | 3.56 | -1 | -37 | 38 |
| MT/V5/顶下外侧皮质 | - | - | - | - | - | 128 | 3.49 | -51 | -67 | -12 |
| 顶叶 | 1376 | 4.69 | 19 | -73 | -22 | 2488 | 5.68 | -39 | -63 | -28 |
| **Autism>control** | **Left hemisphere** | **Right hemisphere** |
| 后顶区/顶内沟 | 272 | 4.07 | 27 | -55 | 42 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 豆状核 | - | - | - | - | - | 80 | 3.61 | -9 | 7 | 20 |

This table shows the z value for the peak activation in a priori regions of interest, its corresponding coordinates in Talairach stereotaxic space, and the volume of tissue in regions of interest in which there was statistically greater activation in one group relative to the other. Since clusters of activation identified by the contiguity threshold sometimes extended beyond pre-determined regions of interest, reported volumes of activation in regions of interest are in some cases less than the cluster volume required to identify significant effects. F values computed during the analyses were converted to equivalent z values to allow direct comparisons with other studies.
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used to correct for signal drift and head movement. Correction for head motion was performed in three dimensions using a two level optimization algorithm to estimate rotation and translation values. For each subject, only volumes with displacement of less than 1.5 mm from the median head position over the time series were included in statistical analyses. The numbers of images that met this criterion were similar across groups for both tasks, \( P > 0.3 \).

The T1 structural images were rotated so that the AC–PC plane in the structural images was parallel to the AC–PC plane in functional images. Structural images were then co-registered with maps of brain activation obtained from each individual subject. Both image sets were then transformed into Talairach coordinate space (Talairach and Tournoux, 1988) for group comparison using Analysis of Functional NeuroImages software (Cox, 1996). A modest Gaussian filter with sigma of 0.5 mm (1.2 mm FWHM) was then applied to individual functional maps. The time series data were shifted by 6 s to compensate for delay in the BOLD response before statistical analysis for activation effects. Voxels were selected for statistical analyses if they were in the brain of at least 75% of cases in both groups. Maps of within-group activation for each task were created using Fisher’s method (Lazar et al., 2002) for visual inspection in order to help interpret significant group differences.

In order to quantify between-group differences in brain activation, \( F \)-statistic maps were created in the following way. Voxel-wise chi-square values from the within-group activation maps were divided by corresponding degrees of freedom appropriate for each group. The ratio of chi-squares (divided by degrees of freedom) from each within-group map were used to compute \( F \) values in order to identify significant between group differences in task-related activation. For instance, to identify brain areas that were more active in the autism group than in the control group during the VGS task, the voxelwise chi-square to degrees of freedom ratio for the autism group from the VGS task was divided by the chi-square to degrees of freedom ratio for the control group from the same task. The resulting \( F \) maps were resampled to 2 mm \( \times \) 2 mm 2 mm space before statistical thresholds were applied.

A contiguity threshold for the whole brain (Forman et al., 1995) was used (minimum of 67 contiguous

Fig. 4. Group level activation during the smooth pursuit task. The control group had higher activation in cortical eye fields and cerebellar hemispheres during this task. (FEF: frontal eye field; PPC: posterior parietal cortex; SEF: supplementary eye field).
voxels, each with a group difference effect significant at the $P<0.005$ level). This procedure maintained an experiment-wise Type I error rate of $P<0.025$. Since $F$ tests are 1 tailed tests, this threshold was applied separately to determine whether activation was greater or less in the autism group than the control group.

3. Results

3.1. Visually guided saccades

Both groups had robust activation in frontal and supplementary eye fields, posterior parietal cortex, visual cortex, and cerebellum during the visually guided saccade task. However, individuals with autism had significantly reduced less activation bilaterally in frontal and supplementary eye fields, posterior parietal cortex and cerebellar hemispheres compared to typically developing individuals (Figs. 1–3).

While individuals with autism had less activation in these sensorimotor areas, they had greater activation bilaterally in dorsolateral prefrontal cortex, anterior and posterior cingulate cortex, medial thalamus, caudate nucleus, and right dentate nucleus. These differences all stemmed from greater task-related activation in the autism group, except for posterior cingulate cortex, where typically developing individuals showed lower activation during the saccade than fixation condition while individuals with autism did not. Location of peak activation and volumes of significantly activated tissue in brain areas of interest are presented in Table 2.

3.2. Smooth pursuit

During the pursuit task, individuals with autism had less activation than typically developing individuals bilaterally in the frontal eye fields, posterior parietal cortex, posterior cingulate cortex, cingulate motor area, and lobules VI and VII of the cerebellar hemispheres (Figs. 4 and 5). Individuals with autism also demonstrated less activation in dorsolateral prefrontal cortex, precuneus, and the pre-supplementary motor area. The right caudate nucleus was activated more during the pursuit task in individuals with autism than in typically developing individuals. Small areas in left posterior parietal cortex were also more activated in individuals with autism, but they were anterior and superior to areas showing greater activation in typically developing individuals.

4. Discussion

The current study provides new evidence about disturbances in widely distributed neural systems supporting sensorimotor processes in autism. During both saccade and pursuit eye movement tasks, individuals with autism showed reduced activation across several neocortical and subcortical brain areas that support sensorimotor functions. This indicates that a widely distributed dysfunction rather than localized pathology is the likely explanation for previously reported visuomotor disturbances in autism. Importantly, these observations suggest that neurophysiological disturbances in autism extend outside the neural systems related to the classic triad of diagnostic symptoms (impairments in social interactions and...
language, and stereotypical behaviors) and point to a pattern of dysmaturation that affects the organization of brain systems in a more generalized way.

During the visually guided saccade task, both typically developing control participants and those with autism showed significant activation in sensorimotor areas, including frontal and supplementary eye fields and cerebellar hemispheres as reported in typically developing subjects performing similar tasks in previous studies (Luna et al., 1998; Rosano et al., 2002; Nitschke et al., 2004). Activation in these areas was reduced in individuals with autism. Coordinates of the peak activation we observed in these regions of interest were similar to those in other published studies (Supplementary Table 1 online).

The most notable difference during this task, however, was the greater activation of rostral frontostriatal circuitry in individuals with autism, including bilateral dorsolateral prefrontal cortex, anterior cingulate cortex, caudate nucleus, and medial thalamus. The right dentate nucleus was also more active, suggesting increased activity in cerebello-thalamic circuitry as well as frontostriatal systems. Regions in this frontostriatal and cerebello-thalamic circuitry are typically involved in the execution of intentional behaviors based on internalized representations and cognitive plans rather than automatic responses to external sensory stimuli (Sweeney et al., 1996; DeSouza et al., 2003; Nitschke et al., 2004).

One possible interpretation of the increased activation in frontostriatal circuitry during visually guided saccades is that individuals with autism may make saccades to unpredictable targets in a more intentional manner, rather than as an automatic reflexive response to target appearance as is typical in typically developing individuals. This could account for the greater dependence on the rostral frontostriatal pathways that are known to support saccades made on the basis of internally generated plans (Sweeney et al., 1996; DeSouza et al., 2003; Nitschke et al., 2004). However, when saccades are based on voluntary decisions, response latencies increase considerably relative to reflexive saccades, typically by more than 100 ms, in both typically developing individuals and individuals with autism (Munoz et al., 1998; Luna et al., 2002). Studies of visually guided saccades in individuals with autism do not indicate any such increase in response latency (Minshew et al., 1999; van der Geest et al., 2001; Takarae et al., 2004b), and saccade latencies obtained in the laboratory for participants in the present study were also in normal range (Table 1). Thus, findings with regard to saccade reaction times do not suggest that individuals with autism utilize a voluntary strategy to perform visually guided saccades. Rather, their reflexive visually guided saccades appear to be generated with greater reliance on brain systems that are typically specialized to support higher cognitive functions.

Increased activation in frontostriatal circuitry might occur to provide compensatory input to sensorimotor areas whose function appears to be compromised. Previous studies have shown that when a motor pathway is compromised by disease, an alternative circuitry that performs a related function can be recruited to compensate for dysfunction in the primary circuitry. This has been demonstrated in Parkinson’s disease (Sabatini et al., 2000) and cerebellar degeneration (Wessel et al., 1995), where atypical or additional brain areas can be recruited to support performance of manual motor tasks. Similar findings have been reported in autism where non-motor areas can be recruited during manual motor tasks (Müller et al., 2001; Allen et al., 2004). Compensation could occur in the form of increased effort to maintain attention during the tasks. However, increased activation in the frontostriatal system was not observed during the pursuit task which has higher demands for sustained attention, suggesting that the finding does not represent general difficulty maintaining attention to visual information. In fact, the opposite pattern of reduced activity relative to typically developing subjects was seen in individuals with autism during the pursuit task.

We recently reported reduced prefrontal activation in autism using an oculomotor delayed response task in which saccades are made to remembered locations without sensory guidance (Luna et al., 2002). This task typically recruits robust dorsolateral prefrontal activation in typically developing individuals (Sweeney et al., 1996; Brown et al., 2004). Our findings in autism with the oculomotor delayed response task suggested an impairment in the ability of prefrontal cortex to support working memory systems. A more recent study by Haist et al. (2005) used a covert attention task in which eye movements need to be suppressed via endogenous control and reported that multiple areas in prefrontal cortex showed reduced activation in autism. This study provides additional evidence that when eye movements are under endogenous controls, prefrontal cortex was less active in individuals with autism. These findings, combined with observations from the present study, illustrate a pattern of prefrontal function in autism in which reduced task-related activity is observed during tasks that require endogenous cognitive control, while greater activity is seen during sensorimotor tasks where exogenous sensory information elicits reflexive discrete shifts of attention and gaze with much lower cognitive.
load. This pattern of activation may be analogous to that seen in other domains in autism, where circuitry subserving basic functions can be enhanced relative to deficits in more complex abilities (Minshew et al., 1997; Belmonte and Yurgelun-Todd, 2003; Just et al., 2004).

If rostral frontostriatal systems are required to provide ongoing compensatory support for sensorimotor systems involving exogenous shifts of attention and gaze, as suggested by their enhanced function during our visually guided saccade task, this could potentially have an adverse neurodevelopmental impact on the functional specialization in prefrontal systems. The impact of such compensatory reorganization could interact with disorder-related neocortical abnormalities, such as intrinsic local circuit pathology or disturbances in long fiber tracts (Casanova et al., 2002; Herbert et al., 2004), to alter the course of maturation in prefrontal systems (Luna et al., 2007). However, it remains to be determined whether the increased activation we observed during the visually guided saccade task is compensatory in nature. Its selective presence during the saccade task is consistent with this possibility. However, while atypical recruitment of prefrontal circuitry could represent a functional compensation for disturbances in sensorimotor systems in other brain areas, it is also possible that they could be a direct result of neurodevelopmental perturbations in the intrinsic organization of prefrontal circuitry or even alterations in the rostral/caudal pattern of thalamocortical innervation.

The increased activation within prefrontal systems was not present during pursuit tracking. In this context, it is important to note that pursuit of predictable target motion, as examined in the present study, is fundamentally different from making visually guided saccades to unpredictable targets in its cognitive requirements as well as in motor output. Sustained visual tracking depends primarily upon the ability to modulate the pursuit response in relation to an internal representation of predicted target speed and trajectory. In contrast, the saccade task elicits discrete reflexive responses to unpredictable target displacements with less requirement for endogenous control. Thus, the reduced prefrontal activation during the pursuit task in individuals with autism might in part reflect a deficit in executing behavior based on internal representations as has been reported in neuropsychological studies of the disorder (Hill, 2004) and in our previous work with oculomotor tasks assessing spatial working memory (Luna et al., 2002).

Consistent with the idea that individuals with autism have difficulty executing motor responses requiring the establishment and use of internal representations, the autism group had less activation than the control group in areas involved in motor learning during the pursuit task. They had reduced activity in pre-supplementary motor area, cingulate motor area, and cerebellar hemispheres, all of which are known to support the acquisition of skilled motor responses (Picard and Strick, 2001; Pierrot-Deseilligny et al., 2002; Floyer-Lea and Matthews, 2004; Simo et al., 2005). Deficits in implicit sequence learning and initiating responses to predictable target sequences have been reported in autism (Mostofsky et al., 2000; Rinehart et al., 2001). Thus, dysfunction in the neural circuitry supporting motor learning may play a role in pursuit eye movement deficits in autism. In addition to the areas involved in the acquisition of skilled motor responses during pursuit, individuals with autism showed less activation in frontal and parietal eye fields and cerebellum that are central to the sensorimotor control of pursuit tracking (Keller and Heinen, 1991; Krauzlis, 2004). Dysfunction in sensorimotor abilities supported by these integrated brain regions was seen during both the saccade and pursuit tasks.

It is widely recognized that a complex pattern of brain dysmaturational occurs in autism. The current study documents reduced activation in sensorimotor areas during eye movement tasks, which indicate that neural system deficits in autism extend beyond brain areas mediating language and social cognition. While some models of autism have proposed hemisphere or lobe specific pathophysiology, the present study demonstrates that brain disturbances exist throughout multiple brain regions to include both neocortical and subcortical regions. Thus, our findings are not consistent with hemisphere or lobe specific pathology. In contrast to previous reports that rostral frontostriatal circuitry is less activated during tasks that rely on planning and behaviors based on internal representations (Luna et al., 2002), in the present study, rostral frontostriatal circuitry showed an atypical increase in activity during simple sensorimotor tasks that elicit automatic reflexive motor responses. These findings are consistent with a model of autism which characterizes the disorder as having a pathophysiology involving a complex brain dysmaturational that affects the general architecture of widely distributed functional brain systems and their functional specialization. This type of dysmaturational would have greatest impact on complex behaviors supported by functional integration within widely distributed systems, providing an overarching model to explain how higher cognitive processes and basic sensorimotor control would be compromised in autism, and why more complex cognitive abilities are selectively affected in the disorder (Minshew et al., 1997).
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